UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JORGE RODRIGUEZ, an individual, on behalf of: Civil Action No.: 1:11-civ-4718-PGG

herself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITIMORTGAGE, INC.,

Defendant.

DECLARATION ANTONIO VOZZOLO IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND INCENTIVE AWARD FOR THE PROPOSED CLASS REPRESENTATIVE

# I, Antonio Vozzolo, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in New York. I am a member of the bar of this Court, and I am a partner at Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, (the "Faruqi Firm"), one of the Co-Lead Settlement Class Counsel appointed by this Court in its January 16, 2013 Order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement of this litigation (the "Settlement"). I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motions for final approval of settlement and for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and incentive award to the proposed class representative. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and, if called as a witness, could and would competently testify thereto under oath.
- 2. The Faruqi Firm is a national law firm with offices in New York, Pennsylvania, California, and Delaware. The Faruqi Firm's practice focuses on complex and class action litigation involving consumer, antitrust, financial, corporate governance, and securities matters. The Faruqi Firm has extensive experience in the litigation, trial and settlement of complex class actions.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a current resume detailing the Faruqi Firm's experience.
- 4. As set forth below, through Plaintiffs' counsel's diligent prosecution of the cases in this Court, a significant global settlement has been achieved with defendant CitiMortgage, Inc. ("CitiMortgage" or "Defendant"). Plaintiffs' counsel are proud of the Settlement and respectfully submit that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of all Class members, and therefore should be approved by the Court.
- 5. As set forth below, through Plaintiffs' diligent prosecution of this case, Plaintiffs reached a significant settlement with CitiMortgage, which provides for a monetary recovery of at least \$116,785.00 per class member, plus the amount of any lost equity in the foreclosed property, plus interest accrued on such lost equity calculated from the date of the foreclosure sale. The Settlement recovers a significant portion of the estimated damages for class members.

- 6. Importantly, the costs of notice and administration of the Settlement, and all fees and costs to be paid to Settlement Class Counsel, do not diminish the benefits provided to the Class. Rather, CitiMortgage is paying these costs in addition to and not out of the recovery to the Class. Settlement Class Counsel are very proud of the Settlement and respectfully submit that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of all Settlement Class Members, and therefore should be approved by the Court. In recognition of the substantial efforts by Settlement Class Counsel and the benefits achieved for the Class through this Settlement, Settlement Class Counsel request that the Court approve payment of an award of \$3,525,000 in attorneys' fees and litigation costs and expenses. CitiMortgage has agreed to pay this amount, subject to Court approval. This amount is fair to both the Settlement Class and Settlement Class Counsel and warrants Court approval. The fee request is within the range of fees customarily awarded in similar actions and is justified in light of the substantial benefit conferred on the Settlement Class, the risks undertaken, and the quality and extent of the services performed, as set forth herein and in the accompanying moving papers.
- 7. I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances of the case, having actively participated in all aspects of this action, including negotiation of the Settlement.
- 8. Plaintiffs' counsel have achieved what I believe is an excellent settlement. Such a settlement is a reflection of the dedication and professionalism of the parties and their counsel.
  - 9. All of Faruqi Firm's work on this matter has been purely contingent in nature.
- 10. The Faruqi Firm has maintained detailed and contemporaneous records of the time spent by its lawyers, law clerks, and paralegals on this action. I have carefully reviewed the time records and I believe them to be accurate. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a summary of time and/or hours spent litigating this matter and the loadstar calculation utilizing our current normal billing rates.
  - 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** are my firm's detailed billing diaries for this matter.

- 12. As of September 1, 2015, the total hours billed by our firm is 924.75. The total lodestar based on the law firm's current rates is \$551,711.25 as of that same date. The Supreme Court and other courts have held that the use of current rates is proper since such rates compensate for inflation and the loss of use of funds. *See Missouri v. Jenkins*, 491 U.S. 274, 283-84 (1989).
- 13. Throughout my involvement in this case, I did my part in ensuring that the tasks necessary to prosecute this case were allocated among the attorneys in my office and were conducted efficiently, without undue duplication of effort, and at minimal expenses. Not being paid by the hour, Plaintiffs' counsel in this case had an incentive to conduct their efforts efficiently. So too, being responsible for advancing all expenses, Plaintiffs' counsel had an incentive not to expend funds unnecessarily.
- 14. All of the time we are claiming was reasonably devoted to advancing and protecting the interests of our clients and the public in this case, and would have been billed to a fee-paying client. This time does not include any time spent on fee-related work. The rates charged for all timekeepers are consistent with the rates charged in this forum for similar work performed by attorneys of comparable skill, experience, and reputation. The hourly rates of the partners listed in **Exhibit B**, as well as the associates, have been approved by various courts. Expenses are accounted for and billed separately and are not duplicated in our professional billing rates.
- 15. In addition, Faruqi & Faruqi expended \$10,130.41 in out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the prosecution of this case. Attached as **Exhibit D** is a chart showing those expenses by category. The actual expenses incurred in the prosecution of this case are reflected on the computerized accounting records of my law office. Those accounting records are prepared by accounting staff from receipts and check records and accurately reflect all actual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This time does not include any time spent on fee-related work.

expenses incurred. Upon request, we will provide the Court with copies of documentation for each of the costs itemized above.

- 16. I expect my law firm to devote additional time and resources to this matter prior to final approval.
- 17. Based on my knowledge and experience, the hourly rates charged by my firm are within the range of market rates charged by attorneys of equivalent experience, skill, and expertise.<sup>2</sup>
- 18. I have general familiarity with the range of hourly rates typically charged by plaintiffs' class action counsel in this District and throughout the United States, both on a current basis and historically. In determining my firm's hourly rates from year to year, my partners and I have consciously taken market rates into account and have aligned our rates with the market.
- 19. In addition to my general familiarity with market rates and my review of the hourly rates claimed by other class action counsel, my conclusion that the Faruqi Firm's hourly rates are reasonable is bolstered by the following authorities and evidence:
  - In *Astiana v. Kashi Co.*, Case No. 11-CV-1967-H (BGS), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127624 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2014) the Court approved 2014 partner rates of \$875-\$675, associate rates of \$510-\$375, paralegal rates of \$315-\$245 and time of the Faruqi Firm in granting plaintiffs' motion for final approval and for award of attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive awards, as set forth in ECF No. 229-4.
  - In *Cox v. Clarus Marketing Group, LLC.*, 291 F.R.D. 473, 483 (S.D. Cal. 2013) the Court approved the 2013 hourly rates of class counsel, including the Faruqi Firm's 2013 partner rates of \$850-\$625, associate rates of \$535-\$390, and paralegal rates of \$300-\$265 (as set forth in ECF No. 30-5 in Case No. 3:11-cv-02711-H-RBB), stating that "hourly rates charged by the attorneys appear reasonable in light of the experience of counsel and complexities of this case."

<u>-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The legal authorities supporting the requested fees and expenses are set forth in Class Counsel's separate memorandum of law in support of the Fee and Expense Application.

- In *In re Alexia Foods, Inc. Litigation*, Case No. 4:11-cv-06119 PJH, ECF No. 66 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2013) the Court approved 2013 partner rates of \$875-\$650, associate rates of \$450-\$390 and time of the Faruqi Firm in granting plaintiffs' motion for final approval and for award of attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive awards, as set forth in ECF No. 55-2.
- In *In re Haier Freezer Consumer Litigation*, Case No. C 11-02911 EJD, ECF No. 90 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2013) the Court approved 2013 hourly partner rates of \$850-\$645, associate rates of \$535-\$375, paralegal rates of \$265-250 and time of the Faruqi Firm in granting plaintiffs' motion for final approval and for award of attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive awards, as set forth in ECF No. 87-3.
- In *Rossi v. Proctor & Gamble Co.*, No. 11-7238 (JLL), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143180, at \*30 (D.N.J. Oct. 3, 2013) the Court found that the 2013 hourly partner rates of \$850-\$650, associate rates of \$535-\$375, paralegal rates of \$265-235 (as set forth in ECF No. 76-4) of the Faruqi Firm "are based on a reasonable hourly billing rate for such services given the geographical area, the nature of the services provided and the experience of the lawyer."
- A January 2014 National Law Journal survey, finding that New York's hourly rates were the highest in the country, with firms whose largest office is in New York charge an average of \$882 per hour for partners and \$520 per hour for associates. Karen Sloan, \$1,000 Per Hour Isn't Rare Anymore, Nat'l L.J., Jan. 13, 2014, at 1.
- In *In re Platinum & Palladium Commodities Litigation*, No.10cv3617, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98691, at \*13 (S.D.N.Y. July 7, 2015), the Court approving billing rates of \$950 and \$905 per hour, referring to a recent National Law Journal survey yielding an average hourly partner billing rate of \$982 in New York.
- In City of Providence v. Aeropostale, Inc., No. 11 Civ. 7132 (CM) (GWG), 2014
   U.S. Dist. LEXIS 64517, at \*38 (S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2014), aff'd sub nom.

- Arbuthnot v. Pierson, 607 F. App'x 73 (2d Cir. 2015), the court approved billing rates of attorneys in New York firms ranging from \$335 to \$875 per hour.
- In *In re Bear Stearns Cos., Inc. Securities, Derivative, & ERISA Litigation*, 909 F. Supp. 2d 259, 271-72 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) (approving fee award based on hourly rates ranging from \$275 to \$650 for associates and \$725 to \$975 for partners, as set forth in ECF No. 302-5 in Case No. 1:08-md-01963-RWS).
- 20. My firm undertook this representation on a wholly contingent basis recognizing that the risk of non-payment has been high throughout this litigation. There were substantial uncertainties in the viability of this case as a class action, as well as substantial uncertainties in the merits of the underlying claims, and the ability to collect on any judgment that might be obtained. We also faced the ongoing risk that another group of plaintiffs might settle the case and we would not obtain any payment for the time we spent on the case. Although we believed the case to be meritorious, a realistic assessment shows that the risks inherent in the resolution of the liability issues, protracted litigation in this action as well as the probable appeals process, are great.
- 21. Had we not reached this settlement with CitiMortgage, we would have vigorously prosecuted the case at trial. We were therefore at great risk for non-payment. In addition, as described above, we have advanced material expenses that would not have been reimbursed absent a successful result.
- 22. I believe the Settlement reached in this matter is an excellent result, I consider the Settlement Agreement to be fair, adequate and reasonable, and believe it to be in the best interest of the Class as a whole.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 4th day of September, 2015, at New York, NY.

Antonio Xozzolo

# **EXHIBIT A**



Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP focuses on complex civil litigation, including securities, antitrust, wage and hour, and consumer class actions as well as shareholder derivative and merger and transactional litigation. The firm is headquartered in New York, and maintains offices in California, Delaware and Pennsylvania.

Since its founding in 1995, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP has served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous high-profile cases which ultimately provided significant recoveries to investors, consumers and employees.

# **PRACTICE AREAS**

## SECURITIES FRAUD LITIGATION

Since its inception over eighteen years ago, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP has devoted a substantial portion of its practice to class action securities fraud litigation. In *In re PurchasePro.com, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. CV-S-01-0483 (JLQ) (D. Nev.), as co-lead counsel for the class, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP secured a \$24.2 million settlement in a securities fraud litigation even though the corporate defendant was in bankruptcy. As noted by Senior Judge Justin L. Quackenbush in approving the settlement, "I feel that counsel for plaintiffs evidenced that they were and are skilled in the field of securities litigation."

Other past achievements include: *In re Olsten Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 97-CV-5056 (RDH) (E.D.N.Y.) (recovered \$24.1 million dollars for class members) (Judge Hurley stated: "The quality of representation here I think has been excellent."), *In re Tellium, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-CV-5878 (FLW) (D.N.J.) (recovered \$5.5 million dollars for class members); *In re Mitcham Indus., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. H-98-1244 (S.D. Tex.) (recovered \$3 million dollars for class members despite the fact that corporate defendant was on the verge of declaring bankruptcy), and *Ruskin v. TIG Holdings, Inc.*, No. 98 Civ. 1068 LLS (S.D.N.Y.) (recovered \$3 million dollars for class members).

Recently, in *Shapiro v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.*, No. CV-09-1479 (PHX) (ROS) (D. Ariz.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel for the class, defeated defendants' motion to dismiss, succeeded in having the action certified as a class action, and secured final approval of a \$4.5 million dollar settlement for the class. In *In re Ebix, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 11-cv-2400 (RWS) (N.D. Ga.), the court denied defendants' motion to dismiss and Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as sole lead counsel, obtained final approval on June 13, 2014 of a \$6.5 million settlement for the class. In *In re L&L Energy, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 13-cv-6704 (RA) (S.D.N.Y.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel, obtained final approval on July 31, 2015 of a \$3.5 million settlement for the class.

In *In re Longwei Petroleum Inv. Holding Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, No. 13 Civ. 214 (HB) (S.D.N.Y.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as sole lead counsel, defeated defendants' motions to dismiss, including those filed by the

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



company's auditors, on January 27, 2014, and is currently conducting discovery on behalf of class members.

Additionally, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP is serving as court-appointed lead counsel in the following cases:

- In re Dynavax Techs. Corp. Sec. Litig., No. 13-CV-2796 (CRB) (N.D. Cal) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss and currently in the discovery phase);
- McIntyre v. Chelsea Therapeutics Int'l, LTD, No. 12-CV-213 (MOC) (DCK) (W.D.N.C.) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss and currently in the discovery phase);
- In re Geron Corp., Sec. Litig., No. 14-CV-1424 (CRB) (N.D. Cal.) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss and currently in the discovery phase);
- Simmons v. Spencer, et al., No. 13 Civ. 8216 (RWS) (S.D.N.Y.) (preliminary approval of settlement pending); and
- In re China Mobile Games & Entertainment Group, Ltd. Sec. Litig., No. 14-CV-4471 (KMW) (S.D.N.Y.) (sole lead counsel).

# SHAREHOLDER MERGER AND TRANSACTIONAL LITIGATION

Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP is nationally recognized for its excellence in prosecuting shareholder class actions brought nationwide against officers, directors and other parties responsible for corporate wrongdoing. Most of these cases are based upon state statutory or common law principles involving fiduciary duties owed to investors by corporate insiders as well as Exchange Act violations.

Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP has obtained significant monetary and therapeutic recoveries, including millions of dollars in increased merger consideration for public shareholders; additional disclosure of significant material information so that shareholders can intelligently gauge the fairness of the terms of proposed transactions and other types of therapeutic relief designed to increase competitive bids and protect shareholder value. As noted by Judge Timothy S. Black of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio in appointing lead counsel *Nichting v. DPL Inc.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-14 (S.D. Ohio), "[a]Ithough all of the firms seeking appointment as Lead Counsel have impressive resumes, the Court is most impressed with Faruqi & Faruqi."

For example, in *In re Playboy Enterprises, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, Consol. C.A. No. 5632-VCN (Del. Ch.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP recently achieved a substantial post close settlement of \$5.25 million. In *In re Cogent, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, Consol. C.A. No. 5780-VC (Del. Ch.) Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel, obtained a post-close cash settlement of \$1.9 million after two years of hotly contested litigation; In *Rice v. Lafarge* North *America, Inc., et al.*, No. 268974-V (Montgomery Cty., Md. Circuit Ct.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel represented the public shareholders of Lafarge North America ("LNA") in challenging the buyout of LNA by its French parent, Lafarge S.A., at \$75.00 per share. After discovery and intensive injunction motions practice, the price per share was increased from \$75.00 to \$85.50 per share, or a total benefit to the public shareholders of \$388 million. The Lafarge



court gave Class counsel, including Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, shared credit with a special committee appointed by the company's board of directors for a significant portion of the price increase.

Similarly, in *In re: Hearst-Argyle Shareholder Litig.*, Lead Case No. 09-Civ-600926 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP litigated, in coordination with Hearst-Argyle's special committee, an increase of over 12.5%, or \$8,740,648, from the initial transaction value offered for Hearst-Argyle Television Inc.'s stock by its parent company, Hearst Corporation. Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, in *In re Alfa Corp. Shareholder Litig.*, Case No. 03-CV-2007-900485.00 (Montgomery Cty, Ala. Cir. Ct.) was instrumental, along with the Company's special committee, in securing an increased share price for Alfa Corporation shareholders of \$22.00 from the originally-proposed \$17.60 per share offer, which represented over a \$160 million benefit to class members, and obtained additional proxy disclosures to ensure that Alfa shareholders were fully-informed before making their decision to vote in favor of the merger, or seek appraisal.

Moreover, in *In re Fox Entertainment Group, Inc. S'holders Litig.*, Consolidated C.A. No. 1033-N (Del. Ch. 2005), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, a member of the three (3) firm executive committee, and in coordination with Fox Entertainment Group's special committee, created an increased offer price from the original proposal to shareholders, which represented an increased benefit to Fox Entertainment Group, Inc. shareholders of \$450 million. Also, in *In re Howmet Int'l S'holder Litig.*, Consolidated C.A. No. 17575 (Del. Ch. 1999) Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, in coordination with Howmet's special committee, successfully obtained an increased benefit to class members of \$61.5 million dollars).

Recently, in *In re Orchard Enterprises, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 7840-VCL (Del. Ch.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP acted as co-lead counsel with two other firms. That action involved the approval of a merger by Orchard's Board of Directors pursuant to which Dimensional Associates LLC would cash-out the stock of Orchard's minority common stockholders at a price of \$2.05 per share and then take Orchard private. On April 11, 2014, the parties reached an agreement to settle their claims for a payment of \$10.725 million to be distributed among the Class, which considerably exceeded the \$2.62 per share difference between the \$2.05 buyout price and the \$4.67 appraisal price determined in *In re Appraisal of The Orchard Enterprises, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5713-CS, 2012 WL 2923305 (Del. Ch. July 18, 2012).

Faruqi also has noteworthy successes in achieving injunctive or declaratory relief pre and post close in cases where corporate wrongdoing deprives shareholders of material information or an opportunity to share in potential profits. In *In re Harleysville Group, Inc. S'holders Litigation*, C.A. Bo. 6907-VCP (Del. Ch. 2014), Faruqi as sole lead counsel obtained significant disclosures for stockholders pre-close and secured valuable relief post close in the form of an Anti-Flip Provision providing former stockholders with 25% of any profits in Qualifying Sale. In April 2012, Faruqi as sole lead obtained an unprecedented injunction in *Knee v. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.*, No. 1-12-CV-220249, slip



op. at 2 (Cal. Super. Ct. Apr. 10, 2012) (Kleinberg, J.). In *Brocade*, Faruqi, as sole lead counsel for plaintiffs, successfully obtained an injunction enjoining Brocade's 2012 shareholder vote because certain information relating to projected executive compensation was not properly disclosed in the proxy statement. (Order After Hearing [Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Motions to Seal]). In *Kajaria v. Cohen*, No. 1:10-CV-03141 (N.D. Ga., Atlanta Div.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, succeeded in having the district court order Bluelinx Holdings Inc., the target company in a tender offer, to issue additional material disclosures to its recommendation statement to shareholders before the expiration of the tender offer.

# SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION

Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP has extensive experience litigating shareholder derivative actions on behalf of corporate entities. This litigation is often necessary when the corporation has been injured by the wrongdoing of its officers and directors. This wrongdoing can be either active, such as the wrongdoing by certain corporate officers in connection with purposeful backdating of stock-options, or passive, such as the failure to put in place proper internal controls, which leads to the violation of laws and accounting procedures. A shareholder has the right to commence a derivative action when the company's directors are unwilling or unable, to pursue claims against the wrongdoers, which is often the case when the directors themselves are the wrongdoers.

The purpose of the derivative action is threefold: (1) to make the company whole by holding those responsible for the wrongdoing accountable; (2) the establishment of procedures at the company to ensure the damaging acts can never again occur at the company; and (3) make the company more responsive to its shareholders. Improved corporate governance and shareholder responsiveness are particularly valuable because they make the company a stronger one going forward, which benefits its shareholders. For example, studies have shown the companies with poor corporate governance scores have 5-year returns that are 3 .95% below the industry average, while companies with good corporate governance scores have 5-year returns that are 7.91 % above the industry-adjusted average. The difference in performance between these two groups is 11 .86%. Corporate Governance Study: The Correlation between Corporate Governance and Company Performance, Lawrence D. Brown, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor of Accountancy, Georgia State University and Marcus L. Caylor, Ph.D. Student, Georgia State University. Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP has achieved all three of the above stated goals of a derivative action. The firm regularly obtains significant corporate governance changes in connection with the successful resolution of derivative actions, in addition to monetary recoveries that inure directly to the benefit of the company. In each case, the company's shareholders indirectly benefit through an improved market price and market perception.



In *In re UnitedHealth Group Incorporated Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 27 CV 06-8065 (Minn. 4th Judicial Dist. 2009) Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs, obtained a recovery of more than \$930 million for the benefit of the Company and corporate governance reforms designed to make UnitedHealth a model of corporate responsibility and transparency. *At the time, the settlement reached was believed to be the largest settlement ever in a derivative case*. See "UnitedHealth's Former Chief to Repay \$600 Million," Bloomberg.com, December 6, 2007 ("the settlement . . . would be the largest ever in a 'derivative' suit . . . according to data compiled by Bloomberg.").

As co-lead counsel in *Weissman v. John, et al.*, Cause No. 2007-31254 (Tex. Harris County 2008) Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, diligently litigated a shareholder derivative action on behalf of Key Energy Services, Inc. for more than three years and caused the company to adopt a multitude of corporate governance reforms which far exceeded listing and regulatory requirements. Such reforms included, among other things, the appointment of a new senior management team, the realignment of personnel, the institution of training sessions on internal control processes and activities, and the addition of 14 new accountants at the company with experience in public accounting, financial reporting, tax accounting, and SOX compliance.

More recently, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP concluded shareholder derivative litigation in *The Booth Family Trust, et al. v. Jeffries, et al.*, Lead Case No. 05-cv-00860 (S.D. Ohio 2005) on behalf of Abercrombie & Fitch Co. Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs, litigated the case for six years through an appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit where it successfully obtained reversal of the district court's ruling dismissing the shareholder derivative action in April 2011. Once remanded to the district court, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP caused the company to adopt important corporate governance reforms narrowly targeted to remedy the alleged insider trading and discriminatory employment practices that gave rise to the shareholder derivative action.

The favorable outcome obtained by Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP in *In re Forest Laboratories, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, Lead Civil Action No. 05-cv-3489 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) is another notable achievement for the firm. After more than six years of litigation, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, as co-lead counsel, caused the company to adopt industry-leading corporate governance measures that included rigorous monitoring mechanisms and Board-level oversight procedures to ensure the timely and complete publication of clinical drug trial results to the investing public and to deter, among other things, the unlawful off-label promotion of drugs.

# **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

The attorneys at Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP represent direct purchasers, competitors, third-party payors, and consumers in a variety of individual and class action antitrust cases brought under Sections 1



and 2 of the Sherman Act. These actions, which typically seek treble damages under Section 4 of the Clayton Act, have been commenced by businesses and consumers injured by anticompetitive agreements to fix prices or allocate markets, conduct that excludes or delays competition, and other monopolistic or conspiratorial conduct that harms competition.

Actions for excluded competitors. Faruqi & Faruqi represents competitors harmed by anticompetitive practices that reduce their sales, profits, and/or market share. One representative action is *Babyage.com, Inc., et al. v. Toys "R" Us, Inc., et al.* where Faruqi & Faruqi was retained to represent three internet retailers of baby products, who challenged a dominant retailer's anticompetitive scheme, in concert with their upstream suppliers, to impose and enforce resale price maintenance in violation of §§ 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act and state law. The action sought damages measured as lost sales and profits. This case was followed extensively by the Wall Street Journal. After several years of litigation, this action settled for an undisclosed amount.

Actions for direct purchasers. Faruqi & Faruqi represents direct purchasers who have paid overcharges as a result of anticompetitive practices that raise prices. These actions are typically initiated as class actions. A representative action on behalf of direct purchasers is Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company, et al., No. 12-3824 (E.D. Pa.), in which Faruqi & Faruqi was appointed co-lead counsel for the proposed plaintiff class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g). Faruqi & Faruqi's attorneys are counsel to direct purchasers (typically wholesalers) in multiple such class actions.

Actions for third-party payors. Faruqi & Faruqi represents, both in class actions and in individual actions, insurance companies who have reimbursed their policyholders at too high a rate due to anticompetitive prices that raise prices. One representative action is *In re Tricor Antitrust Litigation*, No. 05-360 (D. Del.), where Faruqi & Faruqi represented PacifiCare and other large third-party payors challenging the conduct of Abbott Laboratories and Laboratories Fournier in suppressing generic drug competition, in violation of §§ 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act. The *Tricor* litigation settled for undisclosed amount in 2010.

**Results**. Faruqi & Faruqi's attorneys have consistently obtained favorable results in their antitrust engagements. Non-confidential results include the following: *In re Iowa Ready-Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*, No. C 10-4038 (N.D. Iowa) (\$18.5 million settlement); *In re Metoprolol Succinate Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, 06-52 (D. Del.) (\$20 million settlement); *In re Ready-Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*, No. 05-979 (S.D. Ind.) (\$40 million settlement); *Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., et al. v. Braintree Labs, Inc.*, No. 07-142-SLR (D. Del.) (\$17.25 million settlement).

A more complete list of Faruqi & Faruqi's active and resolved antitrust cases can be found on its web site at www.faruqilaw.com.



#### CONSUMER PROTECTION LITIGATION

Attorneys at Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP have advocated for consumers' rights, successfully challenging some of the nation's largest and most powerful corporations for a variety of improper, unfair and deceptive business practices. Through our efforts, we have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars and other significant remedial benefits for our consumer clients.

For example, in *Bates v. Kashi Co., et al.*, Case No. 11-CV-1967-H BGS, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127624 (S.D. Cal. 2011), as co-lead counsel for the class, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP secured a \$5.0 million settlement fund on behalf of California consumers who purchased Kashi products that were deceptively labeled as "nothing artificial" and "all natural." The settlement provides class members with a full refund of the purchase price in addition to requiring Kashi to modify its labeling and advertising to remove "All Natural" and "Nothing Artificial" from certain products. As noted by Judge Marilyn L. Huff in approving the settlement, "Plaintiffs' counsel has extensive experience acting as class counsel in consumer class action cases, including cases involving false advertising claims."

Moreover, in *Thomas v. Global Vision Products*, Case No. RG-03091195 (California Superior Ct., Alameda Cty.), Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP served as co-lead counsel in a consumer class action lawsuit against Global Vision Products, Inc., the manufacturer of the Avacor hair restoration product and its officers, directors and spokespersons, in connection with the false and misleading advertising claims regarding the Avacor product. Though the company had declared bankruptcy in 2007, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, along with its co-counsel, successfully prosecuted two trials to obtain relief for the class of Avacor purchasers. In January 2008, a jury in the first trial returned a verdict of almost \$37 million against two of the creators of the product. In November 2009, another jury awarded plaintiff and the class more than \$50 million in a separate trial against two other company directors and officers. This jury award represented the largest consumer class action jury award in California in 2009 (according to VerdictSearch, a legal trade publication).

Below is a non-exhaustive list of settlements where Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP and its partners have served as lead or co-lead counsel:

- In re: Haier Freezer Consumer Litig., Case No. 5:11-CV-02911-EJD (N.D. Cal. 2011). The firm represented a nationwide class of consumers who purchased certain model freezers, which were sold in violation of the federal standard for maximum energy consumption. A settlement was obtained, providing class members with cash payments of between \$50 and \$325.80.
- Rossi v Procter & Gamble Company, Case No. 11-7238 (D.N.J. 2011). The firm represented a
  nationwide class of consumers who purchased deceptively marketed "Crest Sensitivity" toothpaste. A
  settlement was obtained, providing class members with a full refund of the purchase price.
- In re: Michaels Stores Pin Pad Litig., Case No. 1:11-CV-03350 CPK (N.D. III. 2011). The firm represented a nationwide class of persons against Michaels Stores, Inc. for failing to secure and safeguard customers' personal financial data. A settlement was obtained, which provided class



members with monetary recovery for unreimbursed out-of-pocket losses incurred in connection with the data breach, as well as up to four years of credit monitoring services.

- Kelly, v. Phiten, Case No. 4:11-cv-00067 JEG (S.D. lowa 2011). The firm represented a proposed nationwide class of consumers who purchased Defendant Phiten USA's jewelry and other products, which were falsely promoted to balance a user's energy flow. A settlement was obtained, providing class members with up to 300% of the cost of the product and substantial injunctive relief requiring Phiten to modify its advertising claims.
- In re: HP Power-Plug Litigation, Case No. 06-1221 (N.D. Cal. 2006). The firm represented a proposed nationwide class of consumers who purchased defective laptops manufactured by defendant. A settlement was obtained, which provided full relief to class members, including among other benefits a cash payments up to \$650.00 per class member, or in the alternative, a repair free-of-charge and new limited warranties accompanying repaired laptops.
- Delre v. Hewlett-Packard Co., C.A. No. 3232-02 (N.J. Super. Ct. 2002). The firm represented a proposed nationwide class of consumers (approximately 170,000 members) who purchased, HP dvd-100i dvd-writers ("HP 100i") based on misrepresentations regarding the write-once ("DVD+R") capabilities of the HP 100i and the compatibility of DVD+RW disks written by HP 100i with DVD players and other optical storage devices. A settlement was obtained, which provided full relief to class members, including among other benefits, the replacement of defective HP 100i with its more current, second generation DVD writer, the HP 200i, and/or refunds the \$99 it had charged some consumers to upgrade from the HP 100i to the HP 200i prior to the settlement.
- In re: Alexia Foods, Inc. Litigation, Case No. 4:11-cv-06119 (N.D. Cal. 2011). The firm represented a proposed class of all persons who purchased certain frozen potato products that were deceptively advertised as "natural" or "all natural." A settlement was obtained, providing class members with a cash refunds up to \$35.00 and requiring defendant to cease using a synthetic chemical compound in future production of the products.
- Loreto v. Coast Cutlery Co., Case No. 11-3977 SDW-MCA (D.N.J. 2011). The firm represented a proposed nationwide class of people who purchased stainless steel knives and multi-tools that were of a lesser quality than advertised. A settlement was obtained, providing class members with a full refund of the purchase price.

In addition, Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP and its partners are currently serving as lead or co-lead counsel in the following class action cases:

- Dei Rossi et al. v. Whirlpool Corp., Case No. 2:12-cv-00125-TLN-JFM (E.D. Cal. 2012) (representing a proposed class of people who purchased mislabeled KitchenAid brand refrigerators from Whirlpool Corp.)
- In re: Scotts EZ Seed Litigation, Case No. 7:12-cv-04727-VB (S.D.N.Y. 2012) (representing a proposed class of purchasers of mulch grass seed products advertised as a superior grass seed product capable of growing grass in the toughest conditions and with half the water.)
- In re Sinus Buster Products Consumer Litig., Case No. 1:12-cv-02429-ADS-AKT (E.D.N.Y. 2012) (representing a proposed nationwide class of purchasers of assorted cold, flu and sinus products.)
- Forcellati et al., v Hyland's, Inc. et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-01983-GHK-MRW (C.D. Cal. 2012) (representing a certified nationwide class of purchasers of children's cold and flu products.)
- Avram v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:11-cv-06973 KM-MCA (D.N.J. 2011) (representing a proposed nationwide class of persons who purchased mislabeled refrigerators from Samsung Electronics America, Inc. for misrepresenting the energy efficiency of certain refrigerators.)
- Dzielak v. Whirlpool Corp., et al., Case No. 12-CIV-0089 SRC-MAS (D.N.J. 2011) (representing a proposed nationwide class of purchasers of mislabeled Maytag brand washing machines for misrepresenting the energy efficiency of such washing machines.)
- Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage, Inc., Case No. 1:11-cv-04718-PGG-DCF (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (representing a proposed nationwide class of military personnel against CitiMortgage for illegal foreclosures.)



- In re: Shop-Vac Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation, Case No. 4:12-md-02380-YK (M.D. Pa. 2012) (representing a proposed nationwide class of persons who purchased vacuums or shop vac's with overstated horsepower and tank capacity specifications.)
- In re: Oreck Corporation Halo Vacuum And Air Purifiers Marketing And Sales Practices Litigation, MDL No. 2317 (the firm was appointed to the executive committee, representing a proposed nationwide class of consumers who purchased vacuums and air purifiers that were deceptively advertised effective in eliminating common viruses, germs and allergens.)

#### **EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LITIGATION**

Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP is a recognized leader in protecting the rights of employees. The firm's Employment Practices Group is committed to protecting the rights of current and former employees nationwide. The firm is dedicated to representing employees who may not have been compensated properly by their employer or who have suffered investment losses in their employer-sponsored retirement plan. The firm also represents individuals (often current or former employees) who assert that a company has allegedly defrauded the federal or state government.

Faruqi & Faruqi represents current and former employees nationwide whose employers have failed to comply with state and/or federal laws governing minimum wage, hours worked, overtime, meal and rest breaks, and unreimbursed business expenses. In particular, the firm focuses on claims against companies for (i) failing to properly classify their employees for purposes of paying them proper overtime pay, or (ii) requiring employees to work "off-the-clock," and not paying them for all of their actual hours worked.

In prosecuting claims on behalf of aggrieved employees, Faruqi & Faruqi has successfully defeated summary judgment motions, won numerous collective certification motions, and obtained significant monetary recoveries for current and former employees. In the course of litigating these claims, the firm has been a pioneer in developing the growing area of wage and hour law. In *Creely, et al. v. HCR ManorCare, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:09-cv-02879 (N.D. OH), Faruqi & Faruqi, along with its co-counsel, obtained one of the first decisions to reject the application of the Supreme Court's Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 certification analysis in *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes et. al.*, 131 S. Ct. 2541 (2011) to the certification process of collective actions brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ("FLSA"). The firm, along with its co-counsel, also recently won a groundbreaking decision for employees seeking to prosecute wage and hour claims on a collective basis in *Symczyk v. Genesis Healthcare Corp. et al.*, No. 10-3178 (3d Cir. 2011). In *Symczyk*, the Third Circuit reversed the district court's ruling that an offer of judgment mooted a named plaintiff's claim in an action asserting wage and hour violations of the FLSA. Notably, the Third Circuit also affirmed the two-step process used for granting certification in FLSA cases. The *Creely* decision, like the Third Circuit's *Genesis* decision, will invariably be relied upon by courts and plaintiffs in future wage and hour actions.



Some of the firm's notable recoveries include *Bazzini v. Club Fit Management, Inc.*, C.A. No. 08-cv-4530 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), wherein the firm settled a FLSA collective action lawsuit on behalf of tennis professionals, fitness instructors and other health club employees on very favorable terms. Similarly, in *Garcia, et al., v. Lowe's Home Center, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. GIC 841120 (Cal. Sup. Ct. 2008), Faruqi & Faruqi served as co-lead counsel and recovered \$1.6 million on behalf of delivery workers who were unlawfully treated as independent contractors and not paid appropriate overtime wages or benefits.

The firm's Employment Practices Group also represents participants and beneficiaries of employee benefit plans covered by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1874 ("ERISA"). In particular the firm protects the interests of employees in retirement savings plans against the wrongful conduct of plan fiduciaries. Often, these retirement savings plans constitute a significant portion of an employee's retirement savings. ERISA, which codifies one of the highest duties known to law, requires an employer to act in the best interests of the plan's participants, including the selection and maintenance of retirement investment vehicles. For example, an employer who administers a retirement savings plan (often a 401(k) plan) has a fiduciary obligation to ensure that the retirement plan's assets (including employee and any company matching contributions to the plan) are directed into appropriate and prudent investment vehicles.

Faruqi & Faruqi has brought actions on behalf of aggrieved plan participants where a company and/or certain of its officers breached their fiduciary duty by allowing its retirement plans to invest in shares of its own stock despite having access to materially negative information concerning the company which materially impacted the value of the stock. The resulting losses can be devastating to employees' retirement accounts. Under certain circumstances, current and former employees can seek to hold their employers accountable for plan losses caused by the employer's breach of their ERISA-mandated duties.

The firm's Employment Practices Group also represents whistleblowers in actions under both federal and state False Claims Acts. Often, current and former employees of business entities that contract with, or are otherwise bound by obligations to, the federal and state governments become aware of wrongdoing that causes the government to overpay for a good or service. When a corporation perpetrates such fraud, a whistleblower may sue the wrongdoer in the government's name to recover up to three times actual damages and additional civil penalties for each false statement made. Whistleblowers who initiate such suits are entitled to a portion of the recovery attained by the government, generally ranging from 15% to 30% of the total recovery.

False Claims Act cases often arise in context of Medicare and Medicaid fraud, pharmaceutical fraud, defense contractor fraud, federal government contractor fraud, and fraudulent loans and grants. For instance, in *United States of America, ex rel. Ronald J. Streck v. Allergan, Inc. et al.*, No. 2:08-cv-05135-ER (E.D. Pa.), Faruqi & Faruqi represents a whistleblower in an un-sealed case alleging fraud 10

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



against thirteen pharmaceutical companies who underpaid rebates they were obliged to pay to state Medicaid programs on drugs sold through those programs.

Based on its experience and expertise, the firm has served as the principal attorneys representing current and former employees in numerous cases across the country alleging wage and hour violations, ERISA violations and violations of federal and state False Claims Acts.

# **ATTORNEYS**

#### NADEEM FARUQI

Mr. Faruqi is Co-Founder and Managing Partner of the firm. Mr. Faruqi oversees all aspects of the firm's practice areas. Mr. Faruqi has acted as sole lead or co-lead counsel in many notable class or derivative action cases, such as: *In re Olsten Corp. Secs. Litig.*, C.A. No. 97-CV-5056 (E.D.N.Y.) (recovered \$25 million dollars for class members); *In re PurchasePro, Inc., Secs. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-S-01-0483 (D. Nev. 2001) (\$24.2 million dollars recovery on behalf of the class in securities fraud action); *In re Avatex Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 16334-NC (Del. Ch. 1999) (established certain new standards for preferred shareholders rights); *Dennis v. Pronet, Inc.*, C.A. No. 96-06509 (Tex. Dist. Ct.) (recovered over \$15 million dollars on behalf of shareholders); *In re Tellium, Inc. Secs. Litig.*, C.A. No. 02-CV-5878 (D.N.J.) (class action settlement of \$5.5 million); *In re Tenet Healthcare Corp. Derivative Litig.*, Lead Case No. 01098905 (Cal. Sup. Ct. 2002) (achieved a \$51.5 million benefit to the corporation in derivative litigation).

Upon graduation from law school, Mr. Faruqi was associated with a large corporate legal department in New York. In 1988, he became associated with Kaufman Malchman Kirby & Squire, specializing in shareholder litigation, and in 1992, became a member of that firm. While at Kaufman Malchman Kirby & Squire, Mr. Faruqi served as one of the trial counsel for plaintiff in *Gerber v. Computer Assocs. Int'l, Inc.*, 91-CV-3610 (E.D.N.Y. 1991). Mr. Faruqi actively participated in cases such as: *Colaprico v. Sun Microsystems*, No. C-90-20710 (N.D. Cal. 1993) (recovery in excess of \$5 million on behalf of the shareholder class); *In re Jackpot Secs. Enters., Inc. Secs. Litig.*, CV-S-89-805 (D. Nev. 1993) (recovery in excess of \$3 million on behalf of the shareholder class); *In re Int'l Tech. Corp. Secs. Litig.*, CV 88-440 (C.D. Cal. 1993) (recovery in excess of \$13 million on behalf of the shareholder class); and *In re Triangle Inds., Inc. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 10466 (Del. Ch. 1990) (recovery in excess of \$70 million).

Mr. Faruqi earned his Bachelor of Science Degree from McGill University, Canada (B.Sc. 1981), his Master of Business Administration from the Schulich School of Business, York University, Canada (MBA 1984) and his law degree from New York Law School (J.D., *cum* laude, 1987). Mr. Faruqi was

11

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



Executive Editor of New York Law School's Journal of International and Comparative Law. He is the author of "Letters of Credit: Doubts As To Their Continued Usefulness," Journal of International and Comparative Law, 1988. He was awarded the Professor Ernst C. Stiefel Award for Excellence in Comparative, Common and Civil Law by New York Law School in 1987.

# **LUBNA M. FARUQI**

Ms. Faruqi is Co-Founder of Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP. Ms. Faruqi is involved in all aspects of the firm's practice. Ms. Faruqi has actively participated in numerous cases in federal and state courts which have resulted in significant recoveries for shareholders.

Ms. Faruqi was involved in litigating the successful recovery of \$25 million to class members in *In re Olsten Corp. Secs. Litig.*, C.A. No. 97-CV-5056 (E.D.N.Y.). She helped to establish certain new standards for preferred shareholders in Delaware in *In re Avatex Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 16334-NC (Del. Ch. 1999). Ms. Faruqi was also lead attorney in *In re Mitcham Indus., Inc. Secs. Litig.*, Master File No. H-98-1244 (S.D. Tex. 1998), where she successfully recovered \$3 million on behalf of class members despite the fact that the corporate defendant was on the verge of declaring bankruptcy.

Upon graduation from law school, Ms. Faruqi worked with the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Bureau of Anti-Trust, the Federal Government of Canada. In 1987, Ms. Faruqi became associated with Kaufman Malchman Kirby & Squire, specializing in shareholder litigation, where she actively participated in cases such as: *In re Triangle Inds., Inc. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 10466 (Del. Ch. 1990) (recovery in excess of \$70 million); *Kantor v. Zondervan Corp.*, C.A. No. 88 C5425 (W.D. Mich. 1989) (recovery of \$3.75 million on behalf of shareholders); and *In re A.L. Williams Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 10881 (Del. Ch. 1990) (recovery in excess of \$11 million on behalf of shareholders).

Ms. Faruqi graduated from McGill University Law School at the age of twenty-one with two law degrees: Bachelor of Civil Law (B.C.L.) (1980) and a Bachelor of Common Law (L.L.B.) (1981).

#### **DAVID E. BOWER**

David E. Bower is a Partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's California office.

Mr. Bower has extensive experience in securities class actions, real estate and corporate litigation, and complex commercial litigation matters. Mr. Bower has been in the private practice of law since 1981. Prior to forming his own law firm, Law Offices of David E. Bower, in 1996, Mr. Bower practiced for two years with the law firm Hornberger & Criswell where he supervised and coordinated complex business litigation. From 1989 to 1994, he was a partner with the law firm Rivers & Bower where he handled business, construction, real estate, insurance, and personal injury litigation and business and real estate transactions. From 1984 to 1989, he practiced in the insurance bad faith defense and



complex litigation department of the Los Angeles, California based law firm of Gilbert, Kelley, Crowley & Jennett. From 1981 to 1984, he practiced law in New York as a partner with the law firm Boysen, Scheffer & Bower.

Mr. Bower is a graduate of the Mediation Training Program at UCLA and has a certification in Advanced Mediation Techniques. He has presided in over 200 mediations since becoming certified and is currently on the Los Angeles Superior Court Pay Panel of mediators and arbitrators. He is the past Chairman of the Board of Directors of Mental Health Advocacy Services, a non-profit legal services firm in Los Angeles, where he is still an active member of the board. He was previously the President of the Board of A New Way of Life Reentry Project, a non-profit serving ex-convicts seeking reentry into society as productive citizens.

He graduated from State University of New York (at Buffalo) (B.A. 1977) and received his law degree from the Southwestern University School of Law (J.D. 1981). Mr. Bower is admitted to the bar in California and New York.

#### JAMES R. BANKO

James R. Banko is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi's Delaware office.

Mr. Banko has substantial practice in complex litigation, including securities and corporate fraud. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Banko practiced law at Grant & Eisenhofer, P.A. where he focused on securities and corporate fraud litigation. Mr. Banko represented sophisticated institutional investors in a high-profile securities fraud class action, *In re Tyco International, Ltd. Securities Litig.*, which resulted in \$3 billion class action settlement and in which Mr. Banko took and defended numerous depositions and wrote class certification, discovery, and summary judgment briefs. Mr. Banko was also involved in the recovery of a successful settlement against a former chief financial officer on behalf of a European fund which included discovery under the Hague Convention. Mr. Banko also took a leading role in several other securities fraud class actions against pharmaceutical companies including briefing of Daubert motions. Representative clients included various state attorney generals, pension funds, and securities funds.

Mr. Banko was previously an associate in the litigation department at Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP, New York, NY where he practiced in all aspects of general civil litigation, including complex commercial, contract, corporate, product liability, and trade secret cases, including jury trials. Responsibilities included hearings, pleadings, pretrial discovery, motions for summary judgment, motions in limine, argument of substantive and procedural motions in federal and state courts, engaging in settlement negotiations and drafting of agreements.



Mr. Banko received his J.D. from the University of Pennsylvania Law School where he was a Senior Board Member of the Journal of International Business Law. Mr. Banko is admitted, and in good standing, in NY, NJ, PA, DC, DE, FL, and CA as well as numerous United States district courts as well as the 1st, 2d, 3d and 9th Circuits and the U.S. Supreme Court.

## JUAN E. MONTEVERDE

Juan E. Monteverde is a partner at Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP.

Mr. Monteverde has concentrated his legal career advocating shareholder rights. Mr. Monteverde regularly handles high profile merger cases seeking to maximize shareholder value and has recovered damages and improved merger transactions in the process. *In re Orchard Enterprises, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 7840-VCL (Del. Ch. 2014) (obtaining as co-lead counsel \$10.725 million post-close cash settlement); *In Re Harleysville Group, Inc. S'holders Litigation*, C.A. 6907-VCP (Del. Ch. 2014)(obtaining significant disclosures for stockholders pre-close and securing valuable relief post close in the form of an Anti-Flip Provision providing former stockholders with 25% of any profits in a Qualifying Sale); *In re Cogent, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, Consol. C.A. No. 5780-VCP (Del. Ch. 2013) (obtaining as co-lead counsel post-close cash settlement of \$1.9 million); *In re International Coal Group, Inc., Shareholders Litigation*, No. 6464-VCP (Del. Ch. 2011) (securing a reduction in the Termination Fee of \$10 million and obtaining additional material disclosures regarding the Company's financial projections).

Mr. Monteverde has also broken new ground when it comes to challenging proxies related to compensation issues post Dodd-Frank Act for not providing accurate disclosure required for shareholders to cast informed votes. *Knee v. Brocade Comm'ns Sys., Inc.*, No. 1-12-CV-220249, slip op. at 2 (Cal. Super. Ct. Santa Clara Cnty. Apr. 10, 2012) (Kleinberg, J.) (enjoining the 2012 shareholder vote because certain information relating to projected executive compensation (as related to an equity plan share increase that had a potential dilutive effect on shareholders) was not properly disclosed in the proxy statement).

Mr. Monteverde has written articles regarding executive compensation and also speaks regularly at ABA, PLI and other conferences regarding merger litigation or executive compensation issues.

Mr. Monteverde has been selected by Super Lawyers as a 2013 New York Metro Rising Star.

Mr. Monteverde graduated from California State University of Northridge (B.S. Finance) and St. Thomas University School of Law (J.D. cum laude). While at St. Thomas University School of Law, Mr. Monteverde was a staff editor of law review and the president of the law school newspaper.

Mr. Monteverde is a member of the New York Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Eastern District of New York and Western District of

14

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



New York, Eastern District of Wisconsin, District of Colorado and Seventh Circuit for the United States Court of Appeals.

#### **ANTONIO VOZZOLO**

Antonio Vozzolo is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Mr. Vozzolo was one of the primary counsel responsible for prosecuting *In re PurchasePro, Inc., Secs. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-S-01-0483 (D. Nev. 2001), a case against the officers and directors of PurchasePro.com as well as AOL Time Warner, Inc., America On-Line, Inc., and Time Warner, Inc., for federal securities laws violations, culminating in a \$24.2 million settlement.

Mr. Vozzolo's other notable cases are *Thomas v. Global Vision Products*, Case No. RG-03091195 (Cal. Super. Ct., Alameda Cty.) (representing certified class of California consumers for false and misleading advertising claims regarding Avacor hair restoration product; \$37 million jury verdict for the first trial, \$50 million jury verdict for separate trial against two of the remaining directors and officers); *In re: HP Power-Plug Litigation*, Case No. 06-1221 (N.D. Cal.) (representing a proposed nationwide class of persons who purchased defective laptops; cash payment up to \$650.00, or in the alternative, a repair free-of-charge); *Delre v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, C.A. No. 3232-02 (N.J. Super. Ct. 2002) (representing a proposed nationwide class of persons for false and misleading advertising claims regarding capabilities of model 100i DVD writers; recovery included replacement of the 100i writer with upgraded, second generation 200i DVD writer and a refund of the \$99 defendant had previously charged consumers to upgrade from the 100i to the 200i).

Mr. Vozzolo graduated, *cum laude*, from Fairleigh Dickinson University in 1992 with a Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.), where he was on the Dean's List, and with a Masters in Business Administration (M.B.A.) in 1995. He is a graduate of Brooklyn Law School (J.D. 1998). Mr. Vozzolo served as an intern to the Honorable Ira Gammerman of the New York Supreme Court and the New York Stock Exchange while attending law school.

#### PETER KOHN

Mr. Kohn is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Kohn was a shareholder at Berger & Montague, P.C., where he prepared for trial several noteworthy lawsuits under the Sherman Act, including *In re Buspirone Patent* & *Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1410 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$220M settlement), *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation*, No. 99-MD-1278 (E.D. Mich.) (\$110M settlement), *Meijer, Inc. v. Warner-Chilcott*, No. 05-2195 (D.D.C.) (\$22M settlement), *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation*, No. 01-12239 (D. Mass.) (\$175M settlement), *In re Remeron Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, No. 03-cv-0085 (D.N.J.) (\$75M settlement), *In re Terazosin* 

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation, No. 99-MDL-1317 (S.D. Fla.) (\$72.5M settlement), and *In re Tricor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, No. 05-340 (D. Del.) (\$250M settlement). The court appointed him as colead counsel for the plaintiffs in *In re Pennsylvania Title Ins. Antitrust Litig.*, No. 08cv1202 (E.D. Pa.) (pending action on behalf of direct purchasers of title insurance alleging illegal cartel pricing under § 1 of the Sherman Act).

A sampling of Mr. Kohn's reported cases in the antitrust arena includes *Delaware Valley Surgical Supply Inc. v. Johnson & Johnson*, 523 F.3d 1116 (9th Cir. 2008) (issue of direct purchaser standing under *Illinois Brick*); *Babyage.com, Inc. v. Toys "R" Us, Inc.*, 558 F. Supp.2d 575 (E.D. Pa. 2008) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss following the Supreme Court's decisions in *Twombly* and *Leegin*, and for the first time in the Third Circuit adopting the Merger Guidelines method of relevant market definition); *J.B.D.L. Corp. v. Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, Inc.*, 485 F.3d 880 (6th Cir. 2007) (affirming summary judgment in exclusionary contracting case); and *Babyage.com, Inc. v. Toys "R" Us, Inc.*, 458 F. Supp.2d 263 (E.D. Pa. 2006) (discoverability of surreptitiously recorded statements prior to deposition of declarant).

Mr. Kohn is a 1989 graduate of the University of Pennsylvania (B.A., English) and a 1992 *cum laude* graduate of Temple University Law School, where he was senior staff for the *Temple Law Review* and received awards for trial advocacy. Mr. Kohn was recognized as a "recommended" antitrust attorney in the Northeast in 2009 by the Legal 500 guide (www.legal500.com) and was chosen by his peers as a "SuperLawyer" in Pennsylvania in 2009, 2010, and 2011. In 2011, Mr. Kohn was selected as a Fellow in the Litigation Counsel of America, a trial lawyer honorary society composed of less than one-half of one percent of American lawyers. He is a member of the bars of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania (1992-present), the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (1995-present), the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (2010-present), the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (2005-present), and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (2011-present).

## **RICHARD W. GONNELLO**

Richard W. Gonnello is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Gonnello was a partner at Entwistle & Cappucci LLP and an associate at Latham & Watkins LLP. Mr. Gonnello has represented institutional and individual investors in obtaining substantial recoveries in numerous class actions, including *In re Royal Ahold Sec. Litig.*, No. 03-md-01539 (D. Md. 2003) (\$1.1 billion) and *In re Tremont Securities Law, State Law and Insurance Litigation*, No. 08-cv-11117 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (\$100 million+). Mr. Gonnello has also obtained favorable



recoveries for institutional investors pursuing direct securities fraud claims, including cases against *Qwest Communications International, Inc.* (\$175 million+) and *Tyco Int'l Ltd* (\$21 million).

Mr. Gonnello has co-authored the following articles: "'Staehr' Hikes Burden of Proof to Place Investor on Inquiry Notice, "New York Law Journal, December 15, 2008; and "Potential Securities Fraud: 'Storm Warnings' Clarified," New York Law Journal, October 23, 2008.

Mr. Gonnello graduated *summa cum laude* from Rutgers University in 1995, where he was named Phi Beta Kappa. He received his law degree from UCLA School of Law (J.D. 1998), and was a member of the UCLA Journal of Environmental Law & Policy.

#### T. TALYANA BROMBERG

Ms. Bromberg is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.

Prior to joining the Firm, Ms. Bromberg practiced law at Grant & Eisenhofer, P.A. where she represented whistleblowers in pharmaceutical, financial, health care, and government contractor cases, with settlements totaling over \$4.5 billion. Among these settlements was a \$1.6 billion settlement against Abbott Laboratories related to off-label promotion and payment of kickbacks for anti-seizure drug Depakote, and a \$3 billion settlement against GlaxoSmithKline related to unlawful marketing tactics and kickbacks for GSK drugs. During her tenure at Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Bromberg, among others, also represented sophisticated institutional investors in complex international securities class actions, including *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation* and *In re Vivendi Universal S.A. Securities Litigation*.

Ms. Bromberg previously served as partner at a prominent law firm in Riga, Latvia, where she focused on commercial litigation. She also served as in-house counsel for a U.S.-Latvian joint venture in the exporting and manufacturing sector. Ms. Bromberg received her L.L.M. degree from the University of Pennsylvania Law School and her J.D. equivalent from the University of Latvia School of Law in Riga, Latvia in 1989. Ms. Bromberg is a member of the New York Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York.

## **ADAM R. GONNELLI**

Mr. Gonnelli is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Since joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Gonnelli has concentrated his practice on wage and hour litigation, transaction litigation and consumer class actions. Representative cases include *Garcia v. Lowe's, Cos., Inc.*, No. 841120 (Cal. Super. Ct.) (case to recover overtime pay for delivery drivers); *In re NutraQuest, Inc.*, No. 06-202 (D.N.J.) (consumer fraud case against national diet supplement company); *Wanzo v. Nextel Commc'ns, Inc.*, No. GIC 791626 (Cal. Sup. Ct.) (consumer case challenging change in "nights and weekends" plan); *Rice v. Lafarge North America*, No. 268974 (Md. Cir. Ct.) (merger case

17

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA



resulted in a benefit of \$388 million); and *In re Fox Entm't Group, Inc. S'holders Litig.*, No. 1033-N (Del. Ch. 2005) (benefit to shareholders of \$450 million).

Mr. Gonnelli received a B.A. from Rutgers University (Newark) in 1989 and a J.D. from Cornell Law School in 1997. At Rutgers University, Mr. Gonnelli lettered in football and fencing and served as Student Government President. Prior to attending law school, Mr. Gonnelli was a Financial Writer at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, where he wrote educational materials on international trade and monetary policy. While attending Cornell Law School, Mr. Gonnelli served as Editor-in-Chief of the Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy and was a member of the Atlantic Regional Championship moot court team in the Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition (1997).

# **JOSEPH T. LUKENS**

Mr. Lukens is a partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.

Mr. Lukens was a shareholder at the Philadelphia firm of Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller, where he represented large retail pharmacy chains as opt-out plaintiffs in numerous lawsuits under the Sherman Act. Among those lawsuits were *In re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 897, N.D. III.), *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 1317, S.D. Fla.), *In re TriCor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation* (05-605, D. Del.), *In re Nifedipine Antitrust Litigation* (MDL1515, D.D.C.), *In re OxyContin Antitrust Litigation* (04-3719, S.D.N.Y), and *In re Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 1935, M.D. Pa.). While the results in the opt-out cases are confidential, the parallel class actions in those matters which are concluded have resulted in settlements exceeding \$1.1 billion.

Earlier in his career, Mr. Lukens concentrated in commercial and civil rights litigation at the Philadelphia firm of Schnader, Harrison, Segal & Lewis. The types of matters that Mr. Lukens handled included antitrust, First Amendment, contracts, and licensing. Mr. Lukens also worked extensively on several notable *pro bono* cases including *Commonwealth v. Morales*, which resulted in a rare reversal on a second post-conviction petition in a capital case in the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

Mr. Lukens graduated from LaSalle University (B.A. Political Science, *cum laude*, 1987) and received his law degree from Temple University School of Law (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 1992) where he was an editor on the *Temple Law Review* and received several academic awards. After law school, Mr. Lukens clerked for the Honorable Joseph J. Longobardi, Chief Judge for the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (1992-93). Mr. Lukens is a member of the bars of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania (1992-present), the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (1993-present), the United States Court of Appeals for the



Third Circuit (1993-present), and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of New Jersey (1994-present).

Mr. Lukens has several publications, including: *Bringing Market Discipline to Pharmaceutical Product Reformulations*, 42 Int'l Rev. Intel. Prop. & Comp. Law 698 (September 2011) (co-author with Steve Shadowen and Keith Leffler); *Anticompetitive Product Changes in the Pharmaceutical Industry*, 41 Rutgers L.J. 1 (2009) (co-author with Steve Shadowen and Keith Leffler); *The Prison Litigation Reform Act: Three Strikes and You're Out of Court — It May Be Effective, But Is It Constitutional?*, 70 Temp. L. Rev. 471 (1997); *Pennsylvania Strips The Inventory Search Exception From Its Rationale – Commonwealth v. Nace*, 64 Temp. L. Rev. 267 (1991).

#### STUART J. GUBER

Stuart J. Guber is a Partner in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.

Mr. Guber focuses his practice on representing institutional and individual investors in class actions under the federal securities laws, shareholder derivative suits and mergers and acquisitions litigation, as well as other complex litigation representing consumers. During his 25-year career as a securities and complex litigator, Mr. Guber, as one of the lead attorneys, has successfully litigated numerous shareholder cases to settlement and verdict including In re Rite Aid Pharmacy Sec. Litig., No. MDL 1360 (E.D. Pa) (\$320 Million settlement of securities class action); In re Tycom Ltd. Sec. Litig., No. 03-CV-03540 (D. Conn.) (\$79 million settlement in securities class action); In re Providian Financial Corp. Sec. Litig., No. 01-CV-3952 (N.D. Cal.) (\$65 million settlement in securities class action); In re Bell South Corp. Sec. Litig., No. 02-CV-2142 (N.D. Ga.) (\$35 million settlement in securities class action); In re Evergreen Ultra Short Opportunities Fund Sec. Litig., No. 1:08-CV-11064 (D. Mass.) (\$25 million class action securities settlement in which participating class members will recover over 65% of their losses); Robbins v. Koger Properties, No. 90-896-civ-J-10 (M.D. Flo.) (plaintiffs' trial counsel in jury verdict awarding \$81.3 million in damages); Maiocco, et al. v. Greenway Capital Corp., et al., NASD No. 94-04396 (Lead trial counsel for plaintiffs in securities arbitration awarding \$227,000 in compensatory damages and \$100,000 in punitive damages); Solomon v. T.F.M., Inc. (achieved defense verdict as lead trial counsel in securities arbitration representing Philadelphia Stock Exchange options trading firm); Minerva Group LP v. Keane, Index No. 800621 (Sup. Ct. NY) (mergers and acquisitions case settled for amendments to merger agreement, additional disclosures and a price bump per share to be paid shareholders from \$8.40 per share to \$9.25 per share in merger consideration). Mr. Guber has successfully litigated consumer class actions (for e.g., Nepomuceno v. Knights of Columbus, No. Civ. A. 96 C 4789 (N.D. III.), settled for \$22 million in life insurance vanishing premium consumer fraud case) and successfully defended at trial a union health and welfare fund being sued by a healthcare provider



(Centre for Neuro Skills, Inc.-Texas v. Specialties & Paper Products Union No. 527 Health and Welfare Fund, No. CC-07-10150-A (Cty. Ct. Dallas, Tex.), lead trial defense counsel securing a directed verdict in favor of defendant).

Mr. Guber has also been involved as lead or co-lead counsel in litigation producing a number of noteworthy published decisions including: South Ferry LP v. Killinger, 542 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2008); Koehler v. Brody, 483 F.3d 590 (8th Cir. 2007); Wagner v. First Horizon Pharm. Corp., 464 F.3d 1273 (11th Cir. 2006); Garfield v. NDC Health, 466 F.3d 1255 (11th Cir. 2006); In re Cerner Corp. Sec. Litig., 425 F.3d 1079 (8th Cir. 2005); Nevius v. Read-Rite Corp., 335 F.3d 843 (9th Cir. 2003); Robbins v. Koger Properties, 116 F.3d 1441 (11th Cir. 1997); Schreiber v. Kellogg, 50 F.3d 264 (3d Cir. 1995); In re Evergreen Ultra Short Opportunities Fund Se. Litig., 275 F.R.D. 382 (D. Mass. 2011) Marsden v. Select Med. Corp., 246 F.R.D. 480 (E.D. Pa. 2007); In re Friedman's Inc. Securities Litigation, 385 F. Supp. 2d 1345 (N.D. Ga. 2005); In re Bellsouth Corp. Sec. Litig., 355 F. Supp. 2d 1350 (N.D. Ga. 2005); Tri-Star Farms Ltd. v. Marconi, PLC, et al., 225 F. Supp. 2d 567 (W.D. Pa. 2002); In re Campbell Soup Company Securities Litigation, 145 F. Supp. 2d 574 (D.N.J. 2001); In re Rite Aid Corp. Securities Litigation, 146 F. Supp. 2d 706 (E.D. Pa. 2001); In re ValuJet, Inc. Securities Litigation, 984 F. Supp. 1472 (N.D. Ga.1997); Schreiber v. Kellogg, 194 B.R. 559 (E.D. Pa. 1996); Schreiber v. Kellogg, 839 F. Supp. 1157 (E.D. Pa. 1993); Schreiber v. Kellogg, 838 F. Supp. 998 (E.D. Pa.1993).

Mr. Guber is admitted to practice before the state bars of Pennsylvania and Georgia and is admitted to numerous federal courts including: United States District Courts for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Northern District of Georgia, Eastern District of Michigan and District of Colorado; United Sates Circuit Court of Appeals for the First, Third, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits. He graduated with a Juris Doctor from Temple University School of Law (1990) and with a B.S. in Business Administration, majoring in accounting from Temple University (1986).

# JAMES M. WILSON, JR.

James M. Wilson, Jr. is Senior Counsel in Faruqi & Faruqi LLP's New York office

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Wilson was a partner at Chitwood Harley Harnes, LLP, and a senior associate with Reed Smith, LLP. Mr. Wilson has represented institutional pension funds, corporations and individual investors in courts around the country and obtained significant recoveries, including the following securities class actions: *In re ArthroCare Sec. Litig.* No. 08-0574 (W.D. Tex.) (\$74 million); *In re Maxim Integrated Prod. Sec. Litig.*, No. 08-0832 (N.D.Cal.) (\$173 million); *In re TyCom Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 02-1335 (D.N.H.)(\$79 million); and *In re Providian Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-3952 (N.D. Cal.). Mr. Wilson also has obtained significant relief for shareholders in merger suits, including the



following: In re Zoran Corporation Shareholders Litig., No. 6212-VCP (Del. Chancery); and In re The Coca-Cola Company Shareholder Litigation, No. 10-182035 (Fulton County Superior Ct.).

Mr. Wilson has authored numerous articles addressing current developments including the following Expert Commentaries published by Lexis Nexis: *The Liability Faced By Financial Institutions From Exposure To Subprime Mortgages; Losses Attributable To Sub-Prime Mortgages; The Supreme Court's Decision in Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. et al.; Derivative Suite by LLC Members in New York: Tzolis v. Wolff, 10 N.Y.3d 100 (Feb. 14, 2008).* 

Mr. Wilson obtained his undergraduate degree from Georgia State University (B.A. 1988), his law degree from the University of Georgia (J.D. 1991), and Masters in Tax Law from New York University (LL.M. 1992). He is licensed to practice law in Georgia and New York and is admitted to the United States District Courts for Middle and Northern Districts of Georgia, the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and the Courts of Appeals for the Second and Eleventh Circuits.

# **JULIE K. POPE**

Julie K. Pope is Senior Counsel in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Ms. Pope was an associate in the Asset Finance Group at Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP and the Asset Finance Department at Linklaters. Ms. Pope represented corporate and government entities in the roles of lessee, head lessor, lender, payment undertaker, equity strip collateral provider and financial guaranty insurance policy provider in a variety of leasing transactions involving assets such as aircraft, light rail vehicles, rail equipment and qualified technological equipment. Ms. Pope drafted, reviewed and negotiated participation agreements, equipment leases, loan and security agreements, payment undertaking agreements, financial guaranty insurance policies, opinion letters and other documents to implement unique and complex leveraged leasing transactions.

Ms. Pope graduated from Brigham Young University (B.S., Business Management, 1994) and Cornell Law School (J.D., Concentration in Business Law and Regulation, Specialization in International Legal Affairs, 1997). While attending Cornell Law School, Ms. Pope was an editor of the Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy and a member of the 1997 Atlantic Regional Championship moot court team in the Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition.

Ms. Pope is licensed to practice law in New York.

#### DERRICK B. FARRELL

Derrick B. Farrell is Senior Counsel in the Delaware office of Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP and focuses his practice on advocating stockholder rights.



Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Farrell started his career as an associate at the prestigious law firm of Latham & Watkins, LLP, where he gained substantial insight into the inner workings of corporate boards and the role of investment bankers in a sale process. Following his departure from Latham & Watkins, Mr. Farrell joined the corporate and business law boutique law firm of Abrams & Bayliss, LLP. While at Abrams & Bayliss Mr. Farrell focused his practice on high stakes litigation support, transactional advice and appraisal litigation. While at Abrams & Bayliss Mr. Farrell gained substantial trial experience as both a petitioner and a respondent on a number of high profile matters, including: *In re Appraisal of Ancestry.com, Inc.*, C.A. No. 8173-VCG, *IQ Holdings, Inc. v. Am. Commercial Lines Inc.*, Case No. 6369-VCL, and *In re Cogent, Inc. S'holder Litig.*, C.A. No. 5780-VCP. Mr. Farrell has also argued before the Delaware Supreme Court, successfully defeating a cross-appeal in *American Commercial Lines Inc. v. IQ Holdings, Inc.*, Case No. 230, 2013.

Mr. Farrell has co-authored numerous articles including articles published by the Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance and Financial Regulation and PLI.

Mr. Farrell graduated from Texas A&M University (B.S., Biomedical Science) and the Georgetown University Law Center (J.D. cum laude). At Georgetown Mr. Farrell served as an advocate and coach to the Barrister's Council (Moot Court Team) and was Magister of Phi Delta Phi.

After graduating from the Georgetown University Law Center, Mr. Farrell clerked for the honorable Vice Chancellor Donald F. Parsons, Jr. of the Delaware Court of Chancery.

#### ADAM STEINFELD

Adam Steinfeld is Senior Counsel in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office. He practices in the area of antitrust litigation with a focus on competition in the pharmaceutical industry.

Mr. Steinfeld has litigated successfully with significant contributions in *In re Buspirone Patent & Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1410 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$220M settlement); *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation*, No. 99-MD-1278 (E.D. Mich.) (\$110M settlement); *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation*, No. 01-12239 (D. Mass.) (\$175M settlement); *In re Remeron Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, No. 03-cv-0085 (D.N.J.) (\$75M settlement); *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation*, No. 99-MDL-1317 (S.D. Fla.) (\$72.5M settlement); *In re Tricor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, No. 05-340 (D. Del.) (\$250M settlement); and *Mylan Pharms., Inc. v. Warner Chilcott*, No. 12-cv-3824 (E.D. Pa.) (\$12 million settlement).

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Steinfeld was associated with Grant and Eisenhofer, P.A. (2011-2015) and a partner at Garwin, Gerstein and Fisher, LLP, New York (1997-2009).



Mr. Steinfeld is the author of Nuclear Objections: The Persistent Objector and the Legality of the Use of Nuclear Weapons, 62 Brooklyn L. Rev. 1635 (winter, 1996).

Mr. Steinfeld received his law degree from Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1997) where he was an editor on the Brooklyn Law Review and received several academic awards. Mr. Steinfeld is a member of the bars of the States of New York, New Jersey and Massachusetts; and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the District New Jersey, Eastern District of New York, Southern District of New York, and Western District of New York. Mr. Steinfeld graduated from Brandeis University (B.A., Politics, 1994).

#### CHRISTINE GOODRICH

Christine Goodrich is a Senior Associate in the New York office of Farugi & Farugi, LLP.

Ms. Goodrich's practice is focused in securities arbitration and litigation. Ms. Goodrich represents financial service professionals in the securities industry in employment-related disputes, regulatory matters, transition planning and succession planning. Ms. Goodrich also represents investors in disputes against their broker-dealers.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Ms. Goodrich was the resident partner in the New York office of Eccleston Law, LLC. Ms. Goodrich's practice focused on representing financial service professionals and investors in the area of securities arbitration and litigation.

Ms. Goodrich earned her undergraduate degree at Case Western Reserve University (B.S., Business Management, 2007). Ms. Goodrich earned her Juris Doctor from Pace Law School (J.D. and International Law Certificate, 2011) and her Master in Business Administration from the Lubin School of Business (M.B.A., 2011).

Ms. Goodrich is licensed to practice law in New York and New Jersey and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Ms. Goodrich is a member of the Public Investors Arbitration Bar Association (PIABA), the New York City Bar Association (NYCBA) and the Financial Planning Association (FPA). Ms. Goodrich also serves on the Board of Directors of Case Western Reserve University's New York Alumni Association, as well as the Allied Professionals Committee of the Financial Planning Association of New York. Ms. Goodrich has co-authored several articles for the Journal of Practical Management and Risk Compliance for the Securities Industry.

#### **NEILL CLARK**

Mr. Clark is an associate in Faruqi and Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.



Before joining the firm, Mr. Clark was an associate at Berger & Montague, P.C. where he was significantly involved in prosecuting antitrust class actions on behalf of direct purchasers of brand name drugs and charging pharmaceutical manufacturers with illegally blocking the market entry of less expensive competitors.

Eight of those cases have resulted in substantial settlements totaling over \$950 million: *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig.* settled in November 2002 for \$110 million; *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litig.* settled in April 2003 for \$220 million; *In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.* settled in February 2004 for \$175 million; *In re Platinol Antitrust Litig.* settled in November 2004 for \$50 million; *In re Terazosin Antitrust Litig.* settled in April 2005 for \$75 million; *In re Remeron Antitrust Litig.* settled in November 2005 for \$75 million; *In re Ovcon Antitrust Litig.* settled in 2009 for \$22 million; and *In re Tricor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.* settled in April 2009 for \$250 million.

Mr. Clark was also principally involved in a case alleging a conspiracy among hospitals and the Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association to depress the compensation of per diem and traveling nurses, *Johnson et al. v. Arizona Hospital and Healthcare Association et al.*, No. CV07-1292 (D. Ariz.).

Mr. Clark was selected as a "Rising Star" by Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and listed as one of the Top Young Lawyers in Pennsylvania in the December 2005 edition of Philadelphia Magazine. Two cases in which he has been significantly involved have been featured as "Noteworthy Cases" in the NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL articles, "The Plaintiffs' Hot List" (*In re Tricor Antitrust Litig.* October 5, 2009 and *Johnson v. Arizona Hosp. and Healthcare Ass'n.*, October 3, 2011).

Mr. Clark graduated cum laude from Appalachian State University in 1994 and from Temple University Beasley School of Law in 1998, where he earned seven "distinguished class performance" awards, an oral advocacy award and a "best paper" award.

## RICHARD SCHWARTZ

Richard Schwartz is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office.

Mr. Schwartz graduated from the University of Washington (B.A.) and the University of Chicago in 2004 (J.D.). While in law school, Mr. Schwartz served as a law clerk at the MacArthur Justice Center in Chicago and as a summer associate with the Chicago law firm Robinson Curley & Clayton P.C. Since law school, Mr. Schwartz has been a commercial litigator in New York and Pennsylvania.

Mr. Schwartz is a member of the bars of the State of New York (2005-present), Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (2010-present), the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2006-present), the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2007-present), the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2008-present), the United States Court of



Appeals for the Second Circuit (2010-present) and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (2011-present).

# TIMOTHY J. PETER

Timothy J. Peter is an Associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's Pennsylvania office and focuses his practice on securities law and complex civil litigation.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Peter was an Associate at Cohen Placittella & Roth, P.C. where he was involved in such high profile litigation as: *In re Vioxx Products Liability Litigation* (\$8.25 million recovery for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania) and *In re Evergreen Ultra Short Opportunities Fund Securities Litigation* (\$25 million class action securities settlement in which participating class members will recover over 65% of their losses). In addition, Mr. Peter played an important role in the resolution of *In re Minerva Group LP v. Mod-Pac Corp., et al.*, in which defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.20 to \$9.25 per share, a significant victory for shareholders. Prior to attending law school, Mr. Peter worked for one of largest financial institutions in the world where he gained significant insight into the inner workings of the financial services industry.

Mr. Peter is a 2009 cum laude graduate of the Michigan State University College of Law, where he served as an associate editor of the Journal of Medicine and Law. He received his undergraduate degree in Economics from the College of Wooster in 2002.

Mr. Peter is admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### BARBARA A. ROHR

Barbara A. Rohr is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's California office.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Ms. Rohr practiced civil and employment litigation at Walsh & Associates, APC, and for the City of Los Angeles. Ms. Rohr also gained valuable work experience as a human resources professional in the entertainment industry for six years before attending law school.

Ms. Rohr graduated from Southwestern Law School (J.D., 2010) and Arizona State University (B.A., Psychology and Broadcast Journalism, 1996). In 2010, Ms. Rohr was recognized for earning the highest grade in Sales at Southwestern Law School and received the Los Angeles County Bar Association's Jeffrey S. Turner Outstanding Commercial Law Student award.

Ms. Rohr is licensed to practice law in California and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts of California.



## **NINA VARINDANI**

Nina Varindani is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Varindani practiced commercial litigation at Milber Makris Plousadis & Seiden, LLP where she represented directors, officers and other professionals and corporations in complex commercial litigation in federal and state courts. Additionally, Ms. Varindani gained further litigation experience in law school through internships at Collen IP and the New York State Judicial Institute.

Ms. Varindani is licensed to practice law in New York and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the Eastern District of New York.

Ms. Varindani graduated from the George Washington University (B.A. in Psychology, 2006) and Pace Law School (J.D., 2010).

#### **MEGAN SULLIVAN**

Megan Sullivan is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Sullivan was a litigation associate at Crosby & Higgins LLP where she represented institutional and individual investors in securities arbitrations before FINRA and counseled corporate clients in commercial disputes in federal court. Additionally, Ms. Sullivan gained further litigation experience in law school through internships at the Kings County District Attorney's Office and the Adjudication Division of the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs.

Ms. Sullivan graduated from the University of California, Los Angeles (B.A., History, 2008) and from Brooklyn Law School (J.D., *cum laude*, 2011). While at Brooklyn Law School, Ms. Sullivan served as Associate Managing Editor of the Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial and Commercial Law.

Ms. Sullivan is licensed to practice law in the State of New York.

# **INNESSA MELAMED**

Innessa Melamed is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Melamed practiced complex commercial and securities litigation at Gusrae Kaplan Nusbaum PLLC. Ms. Melamed, along with co-counsel, represented minority shareholders at trial in a derivative lawsuit captioned *Lisa Romita v. Castle Oil Corp., et. al.*, Index No.: 53145/2011. Ms. Melamed was also an associate at Traub Lieberman Straus & Shrewsberry LLP, where she represented primary and excess insurance carriers in complex coverage disputes and insurance defense litigation. Additionally, Ms. Melamed gained further litigation experience in law school through internships at Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, LLP and Citigroup's Office of the General Counsel.



Ms. Melamed graduated from Syracuse University (B.A. in Political Science and International Relations, *summa cum laude*, 2007), Pace Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 2011) and Pace Lubin School of Business (M.B.A. in Finance, *summa cum laude*, 2011).

Ms. Melamed is licensed to practice law in New York, New Jersey and Connecticut and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York and the District of New Jersey.

#### MILES D. SCHREINER

Miles Schreiner is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Mr. Schreiner graduated from Tulane University (B.A. in Political Science, *cum laude*, 2007) and Brooklyn Law School (J.D., *cum laude*, 2012). While at Brooklyn Law School, Mr. Schreiner was a Dean's Merit Scholar and served as the Production Editor of the Brooklyn Law Review. His note, "A Deadly Combination: The Legal Response to America's Prescription Drug Epidemic," was selected as the winning submission in the 2012 American College of Legal Medicine Student Writing Competition and was published in Volume 33, Issue 4 of the Journal of Legal Medicine.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Schreiner gained experience in complex litigation as an associate at a New York City firm that represents plaintiffs in civil RICO actions. While in law school, Mr. Schreiner developed practical skills through internships with the Kings County Supreme Court Law Department, the Office of General Counsel at a major New York hospital, and a boutique law firm that specializes in international fraud cases.

Mr. Schreiner is licensed to practice law in New York and New Jersey.

#### **ELIZABETH A. SILVA**

Elizabeth A. Silva is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Silva was a litigation associate at Crosby & Higgins LLP where she represented institutional and individual investors in securities arbitrations before FINRA and counseled corporate clients in a variety of intellectual property and complex commercial disputes in federal court. Additionally, Ms. Silva gained further litigation experience in law school through internships at the Kings County District Attorney's Office and as a law clerk at a criminal defense firm.

Ms. Silva graduated in *cursu honorum* from Fordham University (B.A. in Comparative Literature and Italian Studies, *cum laude*, 2009) and New York Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 2012). While at New York Law School, Ms. Silva served as a Notes and Comments Editor of the New York Law School Law Review and was an associate in the Institute for Information Law and Policy. Ms. Silva is licensed to



practice law in the State of New York and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

#### KATHERINE M. LENAHAN

Katherine M. Lenahan is an associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Ms. Lenahan practiced securities litigation at Entwistle & Cappucci LLP. Ms. Lenahan gained further experience through internships for the Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, Administrative Judge for Civil Matters, First Judicial District, and the Kings County District Attorney's Office.

Ms. Lenahan graduated from Fordham University (B.A., Political Science, *magna cum laude*, 2009) and Fordham University School of Law (J.D., 2012). While at Fordham Law School, Ms. Lenahan served as an associate editor of the Fordham Intellectual Property, Media and Entertainment Law Journal and was a fellow at the Center on Law and Information Policy.

Ms. Lenahan is licensed to practice law in New York.

#### **MEAGHAN WALTERS**

Meaghan Walters's practice is focused on consumer rights on behalf of the firm's clients. Meaghan is an associate in the firm's New York office.

Prior to joining F&F, Meaghan was a litigation associate at a New York law firm, where she represented professionals in malpractice claims. She also gained experience while in law school through internships at the St. John's Law Securities Arbitration Clinic and the Federal Aviation Administration.

Meaghan received her J.D. from St. John's University School of Law, 2012. While at St. John's Law, she served as the Managing Editor of the N.Y. Litigator law journal. Meaghan received her B.A. in English, magna cum laude, from Stony Brook University.

Meaghan is licensed to practice law in New York and New Jersey.

#### DAVID CALVELLO

David Calvello is an Associate in Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP's New York office and focuses his practice on Antitrust matters.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Mr. Calvello worked as an Associate with another law firm in New York. He focused primarily on insurance coverage matters with respect to Directors & Officers (D&O), Errors & Omissions (E&O), and Professional Liability lines of coverage. While in law school, Mr. Calvello served as associate editor on the Pace International Law Review.

Mr. Calvello graduated from the University of Richmond (B.S., 2011) with a double major in

28



Finance and Political Science, and from Pace Law School (J.D., magna cum laude, 2014).

Mr. Calvello is licensed to practice law in New Jersey and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for New Jersey.

#### **CIRONG (AUDREY) KANG**

Cirong (Audrey) Kang is an Associate in the New York office of Faruqi & Faruqi where her practice focus is consumer law.

Prior to joining Faruqi & Faruqi, Ms. Kang was an associate at Kee & Lau-Kee, PLLC, where her practice focused on real estate and corporate law. While in law school, Ms. Kang interned at Pace Law School's securities clinic representing investors of modest means with potential securities arbitration claims. As well at Pace University School of Law, Ms. Kang was a research assistant to Professor Nicholas A. Robinson. Since graduating law school, Ms. Kang interned at a commercial law practice in Singapore and a customs law and international trade firm in New York City.

Ms. Kang graduated from Pace University School of Law (Juris Doctor, *cum laude*, 2014). She has a Bachelor of Fine Arts Degree with Honors, Parsons the New School for Design (2008). She is licensed to practice law in New York and New Jersey.

NEW YORK CALIFORNIA DELAWARE PENNSYLVANIA

29

# EXHIBIT B

#### Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP Exhibit B: Summary Time Chart

Re: Rodriguez v. CitiMorgtage

Professional	Hourly	/ Rate	Total Hours	Lodestar
Nadem Faruqi (P)	\$	950.00	56.25	\$ 53,437.50
Antonio Vozzolo (P)	\$	775.00	91.50	\$ 70,912.50
Gerald Wells (A)	\$	625.00	325.25	\$ 203,281.25
Robert Gray (A)	\$	585.00	297.25	\$ 173,891.25
Courtney Maccarone (A)	\$	450.00	27.00	\$ 12,150.00
Javier Hidalgo (A)	\$	425.00	16.25	\$ 6,906.25
Derek Behnke (PL)	\$	340.00	12.25	\$ 4,165.00
Michael LoBosco (PL)	\$	300.00	12.50	\$ 3,750.00
Teresa Maloney (PL)	\$	275.00	13.25	\$ 3,643.75
Lilia Volynkova (PL)	\$	275.00	11.00	\$ 3,025.00
Jessica Jenks (PL)	\$	275.00	24.25	\$ 6,668.75
Bryan Rodriguez (PL)	\$	260.00	12.25	\$ 3,185.00
Danielle Serpica (PL)	\$	260.00	14.50	\$ 3,770.00
Miriam Sampson (PL)	\$	260.00	11.25	\$ 2,925.00
TOTAL			924.75	\$ 551,711.25

#### **Professional Status:**

- (P) Partner
- (A) Associate
- (PL) Paralegal
- (C) Contract Employee

# **EXHIBIT C**

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
2/24/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	4.25	Conduct legal research into potential claim - SCRA
2/24/2011	Maloney, Teresa	2.75	Legal and factual research
2/24/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.25	Telephone call from potential co-counsel (GL) re: possible SCRA case; Conduct legal research into potential claim
2/25/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	4	Conduct legal research into potential claim - SCRA
2/25/2011	Maloney, Teresa	2	Legal and factual research
2/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Email from potential co-counsel (GL); Meeting w/NF re: potential SCRA case
3/29/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email from potential co-counsel (GL); Review documents attached in same
3/30/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from potential co-counsel (SB); Email to same re: claim under SCRA
3/31/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Call w/potential co-counsel (SB)
4/4/2011	Volynkova, Lilia	3.25	Legal research
4/4/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Draft email to potential clients and forward same to potential co-counsel (SB)
4/5/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	2	Meeting w/JW; Meeting w/potential co-counsel (SB)
4/5/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Meeting w/NF; Meeting w/potential co-counsel (SB); Review email to potential clients
4/12/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email from potential co-counsel (SB) re: potential clients; Conference call amongst potential co-counsel; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL); Email correspondence
4/15/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from potential co-counsel (JM) re: potential client in Texas; Telephone call from co-counsel (GL)
4/27/2011	Hidalgo, Javier	3.5	SCRA - assist S. Bursor with researching related action
5/6/2011	Wells, Gerald	2	Email potential co-counsel re: SCRA settlement in JP Morgan matter; Review information re:
			settlement in that matter; Conference call w/potential co-counsel (JM & GL) re: potential client
5/9/2011	Gray, Robert	6.5	Research SCRA cases
5/9/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.75	Email to/from potential co-counsel (JM) re: SCRA requirements; Telephone call to investigator re: mortgage documents; Email from co-counsel (GL) re: vetting of potential client; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL)
5/10/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	2	Review documentation from potential client
5/10/2011	Gray, Robert	7.25	Conduct initial research on SCRA; Review initial docs from prospective plaintiff

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
5/10/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.75	Review documentation from potential client; Email from potential co-counsel (JM) re: same issue;
			Telephone call to co-counsel (GL); Email from co-counsel (GL)
5/11/2011	Gray, Robert	7	Research; Re causes of action pursuant to SCRA
6/1/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: client update
6/2/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Email correspondence re: case update; Conference call between co-counsel (JM & GL)
6/13/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Wells re potential matter
6/13/2011	Wells, Gerald	4.5	Email to/from co-counsel (GL & SG) re: draft complaint; Review same; Meeting w/RG
6/14/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	2.25	Legal Research re SCRA claim
6/15/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Legal Research re SCRA claim
6/15/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.25	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: status of complaint
6/22/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	1	Telephone call with JW re: status of complaint and case strategy
6/22/2011	Gray, Robert	4	Review client docs.
6/22/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.5	Telephone call from NF re: status of complaint and case strategy; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL)
			re: same; Conduct legal research
6/23/2011	Gray, Robert	5.5	Conduct initial research on SCRA
6/27/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	4.75	Review draft complaint from co-counsel
6/27/2011	Gray, Robert	8.5	Research, re: Causes of Action; MW GDW, re: same; Revise draft complaint
6/27/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.5	Review draft complaint from co-counsel (GL); Telephone call re: same; Meeting w/RG; Revise
			complaint; Email draft to co-counsel (SB &JM)
6/28/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	4.25	Review draft complaint from co-counsel
6/28/2011	Gray, Robert	9	Research re: Statute of limitations; Number of military bases in TX; Texas foreclosure laws; TC with
			co-counsel co-counsel
6/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.75	Email correspondence w/co-counsel (GL) re: potential SOL problems; Meeting w/RG; Conduct legal
			research into SOL issue; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL) re: same; Email from co-counsel (SG) re:
			SOL issue and Texas statutes
6/29/2011	Gray, Robert	10.5	Research re: statute of limitations; TX foreclosure procedures; Rvw draft complaint
6/29/2011	Volynkova, Lilia	3	Legal research
6/29/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (SG) re: cause of action and revisions to complaint
6/30/2011	Gray, Robert	8.5	Review / draft email correspondence, re: Complaint; Revise Draft complaint.

## Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP Exhibit C: Detailed Time Report Re: Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage

TKPR Name Date **Bs Hrs** Narrative 6/30/2011 Wells, Gerald Email to co-counsel (SB) 7/1/2011 Gray, Robert Review / draft email correspondence, re: venue 7/1/2011 6.5 Email to/from co-counsel (SB) re: forthcoming edits to complaint; Telephone call to co-counsel Wells, Gerald (SB); Telephone call from NF; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL) 7/5/2011 3.25 Review draft complaint from co-counsel Faruqi, Nadeem 7/5/2011 Wells, Gerald Email correspondence from co-counsel re: case strategy; Review edits to draft complaint; 7.5 Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL) 2.5 Conference call w/ co-counsel; email correspondence w/ co-counsel, re: venue 7/6/2011 Gray, Robert 7/6/2011 Vozzolo, Antonio 0.75 Review Draft of complaint 7/6/2011 Wells, Gerald Email correspondence from co-counsel re: co-counsel arrangement; Telephone call w/co-counsel 6.25 (GL); Telephone call from NF; Discuss case strategy; Review edits from co-counsel (SB) 7/7/2011 Conference call w/ co-counsel; email correspondence w/ co-counsel, re: Complaint; Review edits to Gray, Robert 7.5 complaint Review Draft of complaint 7/7/2011 Vozzolo, Antonio 1.25 7/7/2011 Wells, Gerald 2.25 Email correspondence from co-counsel re: co-counsel arrangement; Conference call amongst cocounsel; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL) 7/8/2011 Review individual practice of judge Gray, Robert 1.5 7/8/2011 Hidalgo, Javier 2.5 Assemble materials for attorney review 7/8/2011 Preparation of the Civil Cover Sheet. Volynkova, Lilia 1 7/8/2011 Volynkova, Lilia Preparation of the Summons. Volynkova, Lilia Preparation of the Statement pursuant to Rule 7.1. 7/8/2011 7/8/2011 Vozzolo, Antonio 0.25 Discussion with L Volynkova re filing; preparation of complaint and related documents CITIMORTGAGE - Disc with J. Marchesse re: non-compliance email SB received from SDNY; call to 7/11/2011 Maloney, Teresa 1.75 clerk's office re: issue, clerk's error, email status to SAB, JM. 1.5 Email from co-counsel (SB) re: service of complaint; Review email and link re: news coverage of 7/11/2011 Wells, Gerald case filing 7/13/2011 Wells, Gerald Review email and link re: news coverage of case filing 1.25 7/14/2011 Wells, Gerald 0.75 Review pro hac vice motion

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
7/21/2011	Maloney, Teresa	1.75	Discussions with SAB and JM; edit, fix and format PHV forms of motion, aff and order for RJG;
			prepare set of PHV docs for GDW
7/22/2011	Gray, Robert	0.5	TC with co-counsel, re: leadership papers.
7/22/2011	Maloney, Teresa	1.75	Finalize PHV motions, affidavits and orders for RJG and GDW per JM, prepare for SDNY paper filing;
			check request
7/22/2011	Rodriguez, Bryan	2.25	Went to SDNY to file PHV for GDW and RG.
7/22/2011	Wells, Gerald	3.25	Email to/from co-counsel (JM) re: defense counsel's request for extension; Case strategy re:
			leadership papers; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL)
7/25/2011	Gray, Robert	8.5	Research re: 23(g) factors; Meet w/ GDW, re: 23(g) motion; Review email correspondence,re same;
			Revise Brief ISO Lead Counsel
7/25/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.75	Review/edit Rule 23(g) papers; Telephone call w/co-counsel; Meeting w/RG; Email from co-counsel
			(JM) to defense counsel
7/26/2011	Gray, Robert	7.75	Revise Brief ISO Lead Counsel; Meet w/ GDW; Review email correspondence
7/26/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email from co-counsel; Meeting w/RG re: edits to Rule 23(g)
7/27/2011	Gray, Robert	7.5	MW GDW, Re: 23(g) motion; Email correspondence w/ co-counsel; Review Stipulation to extend
			time to Answer; Revise 23(g) motion
7/27/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.75	Email from co-counsel (JM) to defense counsel re: stipulation to extend time; Email from defense
			counsel; Review revised 23(g) motion; Draft declaration in support of Rule 23(g) motion; Meeting
			w/RG
7/28/2011	Gray, Robert	4.25	Review edits to 23(g) motion; Email correspondence with co-counsel, re: 23(g) motion
7/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Review ancillary documents to draft Rule 23(g) motion
7/29/2011	Gray, Robert	7.25	Email correspondence w/ co-counsel; Review filed Stipulation to Extend time; Prepare Declaration
			in Support of 23(g) motion.
7/29/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Review executed stipulation to extend time; Email from co-counsel (JM) re: Rule 23 (g) papers
8/1/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Review opposition to interim class counsel appointment
8/2/2011	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review Def's Corp. Disclosure Statement; Review Email correspondence;
9/30/2011	Gray, Robert	3	Review Answer; Review Letter to Court, Re: Answer; Review email correspondence.
9/30/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Review defendants' answer to the complaint; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL)

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
10/3/2011	Gray, Robert	2	Review letter, re: 23(g) motion; Review email correspondence
10/3/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.75	Review draft letter to court re: pending Rule 23(g) motion; Email correspondence re: same
10/20/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review notice of initial pretrial conference. Discussion with co-counsel re same
10/21/2011	Wells, Gerald	3.25	Email to/from co-counsel (JM) re: case update; Telephone call w/co-counsel (JM) re: same;
			Meeting w/co-counsel re: same and case strategy
10/24/2011	Gray, Robert	3	Read email correspondence, re: 26(f) conference; MW GDW, re same. Review draft letter to court.
10/24/2011	Volynkova, Lilia	1.75	Legal research
10/24/2011	Wells, Gerald	4.5	Email correspondence re: scheduling of 26(f) conference; conference call amongst co-counsel re:
			26(f); Meeting w/RG; Review draft letter to court re: postponing initial conference
10/25/2011	Gray, Robert	4.5	MW GDW, re: prepare for Rule 26(f) conf. Conf call with co-counsel, re: same; Review draft letter to court
10/25/2011	Wells, Gerald	5.5	Prepare for 26(f) call; Conference call w/ defense counsel re: 26(f); Telephone call to co-counsel
			(GL); Meeting w/RG; Review draft of case management order; Email re: case management order &
			case strategy (use of potential expert)
10/26/2011	Wells, Gerald	2	Review draft of case management order; Email correspondence re: same
10/27/2011	Wells, Gerald	1.25	Review edits to CMO by co-counsel (SB & JM); Email correspondence re: same
10/28/2011	Gray, Robert	6.25	Correspond w/ co-counsel, re: Case Mgt Report; Review and revise CMO; Review and revise letter
			to court, re: same.
10/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Review defendant's edits to CMO; Review co-counsel's edits to letter to court; Email
			correspondence re: same; Email correspondence w/RG
11/2/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.25	Email from/to co-counsel (GL) re: Rule 16 conference w/court
11/14/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.25	Review order granting 23(g) motion; Email same to NY; Email from co-counsel (JM); Review judge's
			individual practices
11/15/2011	Gray, Robert	6.25	Email correspondence with co-counsel; Conf call with co-counsel; Review draft discovery requests
		ĺ	

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
11/15/2011	Wells, Gerald	4.5	Email correspondence to/from co-counsel (JM); Conference call amongst co-counsel re: allocation
			of work, forthcoming Rule 16 conference and case strategy moving forward; Telephone call to co-
			counsel (GL); Review draft discovery requests
11/16/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: tomorrow's conference w/court
11/17/2011	Gray, Robert	2.5	Review SCRA Settlement docs from other cases
11/17/2011	Hidalgo, Javier	3.25	Assemble research re SCRA foreclosure settlements
11/17/2011	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Review email from co-counsel (SB) re: update on pretrial conference w/court; Email from co-
			counsel (SB) re: other SCRA cases; Meeting w/JJ
11/21/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review case management plan
11/22/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	3	Review discovery requests
11/22/2011	Gray, Robert	7.5	Revise discovery requests; MW GDW, re: same.
11/22/2011	Wells, Gerald	4	Edit discovery requests; Email correspondence to/from co-counsel (JM) re: same; Meeting w/RG;
			Email from co-counsel (GL) re: discovery request
11/23/2011	Gray, Robert	5	MW GDW, re: discovery; Review revised discovery requests; Conf call with co-counsel; Email
			correspondence with co-counsel
11/23/2011	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Review letter to defense counsel and discovery request; Email to co-counsel (SB); Email from co-
			counsel (SB); Review draft discovery requests; Meeting w/RG; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL)
11/24/2011	Gray, Robert	1	Review email from defense counsel
11/24/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from defense counsel
11/28/2011	Gray, Robert	5.5	Email correspondence with defense counsel; Review draft protective order;
11/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.75	Email correspondence re: protective order; Email to defense counsel; Email from defense counsel
			attaching edits to protective order; Review same; Email from co-counsel (SB)
11/29/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.25	Email correspondence re: protective order; Telephone call to co-counsel (JM) re: protective order;
			Review & revise proposed protective order
12/1/2011	Gray, Robert	3.5	Review / revise Initial Disclosures
12/1/2011	Serpica, Danielle	4	Scan documents from Pacer and file in internal filing system
12/1/2011	Wells, Gerald	2.75	Review & edit plaintiff's initial disclosures; Meeting w/JM & SB
12/2/2011	Gray, Robert	4	Email correspondence from defense counsel; Review Def's First set of Discovery requests

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
12/2/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence from defense counsel re: protective order
12/5/2011	Faruqi, Nadeem	3.25	Review Def's First Set of Doc requests; Review Def's Initial Disclosures
12/5/2011	Gray, Robert	6	Review Def's First Set of Doc requests; Review Def's Initial Disclosures
12/5/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: protective order
12/6/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (JM); Telephone call to JM re: edits to protective order
12/7/2011	Wells, Gerald	3	Email correspondence to defense counsel from co-counsel (JM); Telephone call to JM re: protective
			order revisions; Review protective order
12/9/2011	Gray, Robert	1	Review / revise draft protective order; review email correspondence
12/9/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Review proposed protective order; Email correspondence re: same
12/12/2011	Gray, Robert	1	Review letter to judge; draft protective order
12/12/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Review near finalized letter to the court & protective order; Email correspondence re: same
12/23/2011	Gray, Robert	4	Review D's discovery responses; Review letter to defense counsel
12/23/2011	Wells, Gerald	4.25	Review letter to defense counsel; Email correspondence re: calendaring call re: discovery dispute;
			Review defendant's responses to discovery requests
12/26/2011	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: calendaring conference call
12/27/2011	Rodriguez, Bryan	3.5	Organize document production review
12/27/2011	Serpica, Danielle	3.25	Assist Bryan, Scan and copied documents for Joe Marchese
12/27/2011	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with B Rodriguez and D Serpica re project- document copies for J Marchese
12/28/2011	Gray, Robert	1	Review subpoenas to Barrett Burke; Email correspondence, re: same
12/28/2011	Rodriguez, Bryan	4.25	Review and organize documents
12/28/2011	Wells, Gerald	1	Review email and attached subpoenas re: non-parties
1/3/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Review / Revise Responses to discovery; MW GDW, re: same; email correspondence with co-
			counsel; email correspondence with defense counsel.
1/3/2012	Wells, Gerald	6.5	Review discovery requests; Email proposed objections to co-counsel; Meeting w/RG; Email
			correspondence re: joint letter to the court; Conference call re: discovery issues; Email
			correspondence re: same; Email from defense counsel to clerk of court
1/4/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel to clerk of court
1/5/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel
1/6/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
1/9/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.75	Review defense counsel's edits to proposed letter to the Court; Email correspondence re: same
1/10/2012	Gray, Robert	2.5	Review deficiency letter; email correspondence with co-counsel; email correspondence with defense counsel.
1/10/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Email correspondence; Conference call w/defense counsel; Prepare for same; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL); Email correspondence re: protective order
1/11/2012	Gray, Robert	1	Email correspondence with co-counsel; email correspondence with defense counsel; Review deficiency letter to judge
1/11/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review confidentiality agreement
1/11/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: letter submission to the Court
1/12/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Email correspondence re: discovery dispute; Review letter submission to the Court; Emails from defense counsel
1/13/2012	Gray, Robert	2.75	Research background of Barret Burke firm
1/13/2012	Gray, Robert	3.5	Review email correspondence; Review objections to subpoenas; MW GDW; conf call w/ co-counsel
1/13/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.75	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: questionable foreclosure practices by non-party; Review attached articles; Meeting w/RG; Email from co-counsel (JM) re: scheduling of non-party deposition; Conference call w/co-counsel re: case strategy; Telephone callto co-counsel (GL)
1/16/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Review P's privileged log and discovery responses
1/16/2012	Wells, Gerald	4.25	Review document production, privilege log and correspondence w/defense counsel
1/17/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: Texas issue; Email from co-counsel (GL) re: status
1/18/2012	Gray, Robert	5.25	Research jurisdiction of Texas discovery; MW GDW, re: same.
1/18/2012	Wells, Gerald	4.5	Email to co-counsel (JM) re: case strategy for Texas discovery; Conduct legal research re: transfer of discovery issues to SDNY; Meeting w/RG
1/19/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email re: proposed format for document production; Email correspondence re: deposition of L. Ellis; Email from defense counsel
1/20/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email re: document production; Email from defense counsel
1/23/2012	Hidalgo, Javier	3	assist with research and document production

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
1/23/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Hidalgo re research and document production
1/23/2012	Wells, Gerald	3	Email correspondence amongst co-counsel re: Texas depositions; Email to co-counsel (SB) re:
			document production; Email from co-counsel (SB) re: document production; Conference call w/co-
			counsel; Telephone call to co-counsel (GL)
1/24/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence
1/25/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel
1/26/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email re: Texas deposition
1/27/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Email correspondence with co-counsel, re: strategy on Texas depositions
1/27/2012	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Email re: deposition of L. Ellis (non-party); Email from co-counsel (GL) re: agreement w/Texas
			counsel; Telephone call from co-counsel (GL)
1/30/2012	Gray, Robert	1	Review proposed document production format.
1/30/2012	Gray, Robert	1	Review agreement for service of third-party subpoenas; Review emails;
1/30/2012	Wells, Gerald	4.5	Email correspondence re: briefing schedule for non-parties and document production protocol;
			Email to clerk of court; Conference call w/non-parties' counsel; Prepare for same; Telephone call
			w/co-counsel (GL)
2/1/2012	Hidalgo, Javier	2	Research individuals
2/2/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Email correspondence with co-counsel re; discovery issues; Review draft deficiency letter; Review
			Motion to Quash Subpoena
2/2/2012	Wells, Gerald	5.5	Email correspondence from non-parties' counsel re: filing of motion to quash; Review motion to
			quash; Telephone call w/co-counsel; Review documents produced by non-parties; Review letter to
			defense counsel
2/3/2012	Gray, Robert	1	Review letter from D; discovery issues
2/3/2012	Hidalgo, Javier	2	Research individuals
2/3/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Email from co-counsel (SB) re: tracking down non-parties; Email from non-parties' counsel re:
			motion to quash; Email from defense counsel attaching letter to SB; Review letter
2/7/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	2.75	Review and edit motion to quash
2/7/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Research; re Resp. to Mot. Quash
2/8/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	3	Review and edit motion to quash
2/8/2012	Gray, Robert	5.25	Research, re: Resp to Mot. Quash; Prepare draft response to Mot. To Quash.

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
2/8/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Emails to/from co-counsel (SG) re: motion to quash; Edit same; Email docs to co-counsel (SB); Email
			from defense counsel attaching letter to SB; Review letter
2/9/2012	Wells, Gerald	4	Review edits from co-counsel (SB) re: opposition to motion to quash; Email to/from co-counsel
			(GL); Telephone call w/GL; Emails to/from co-counsel (SG) re: Texas filing
2/10/2012	Gray, Robert	4	Review JP Morgan SCRA Settlement Docs
2/10/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: settlement in related SCRA case; Review attached article re: same;
			Email from defense counsel
2/12/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.25	Review Motion to Quash & Protective Order
2/13/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from co-counsel's office (SB) re: filing in Texas; Email from co-counsel (SG) re: same
2/14/2012	Rodriguez, Bryan	2.25	Legal research
2/14/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1	Discussion with J Marchese re factual research; discussion with D Serpica re research project
2/14/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Email from co-counsel (SB) re: document production issue; Email correspondence re: call w/non-
			parties' counsel; Email from co-counsel (GL) re: order issued by Texas court; Review same
2/15/2012	Gray, Robert	4	Review Reply ISO Motion to Quash
2/15/2012	Serpica, Danielle	4.25	Searched for addresses of Citi employees for Joe Marchese using Accurint and the internet.
2/15/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with D Serpica re research project
2/15/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.75	Email from defense counsel re: confirming certain depositions; Email correspondence from co-
			counsel (SB); Email to co-counsel re: topics to be covered in Texas depositions/affidavits;
			Conference call w/non-parties' counsel; Prepare for same; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL); Email
			from co-counsel (GL) re: division of work in discovery matters; Email from non-parties' counsel
			attaching reply; Review same
2/16/2012	Gray, Robert	2	Review motion for extension to file status report
2/16/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Email from defense counsel; Telephone call from NF; Email from non-parties' counsel attaching
			unopposed motion for extension of time; Review same
2/17/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from co-counsel re: discovery dispute
2/20/2012	Gray, Robert	3.5	Review opening draft of status report; review email correspondence

## Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP Exhibit C: Detailed Time Report Re: Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage

Date **TKPR Name Bs Hrs Narrative** 2/20/2012 Wells. Gerald 2.5 Email correspondence re: edits to joint status report; Review non-parties' counsel's proposed joint status report; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL); Email correspondence re: deposition of named plaintiff; Email from co-counsel (GL) 2/21/2012 4.5 Research for Motion to Compel; RE: Attorney client privilege; Review and revise motion Gray, Robert 2/21/2012 Gray, Robert Review & prepare email correspondence; re: meet & confer. Wells, Gerald 5.5 Edit memorandum of law for submission to Texas; Email correspondence re: same; Conference call 2/21/2012 w/co-counsel; Meeting w/co-counsel (GL & BC); Telephone call w/RG; Review subpoena to PwC; Email re: confirming deposition dates; Travel to Pittsburgh; Email from defense counsel re: PwC subpoena 2/22/2012 Serpica, Danielle File review Email correspondence re: motion edits; Review exchange of exhibits; Meeting w/NF; Email from 2/22/2012 Wells, Gerald 2.5 defense counsel attaching privilege log 2/23/2012 3 Gray, Robert Review / revise joint status report; TC from GDW. 2/23/2012 Email correspondence re: joint status report; Email re: joint appendix and edits to submission; Wells, Gerald Email from defense counsel re: location of depositions; Telephone call to RG 2/24/2012 Gray, Robert 3 Review Barret Burke Privilege log 2/24/2012 Wells, Gerald Email from co-counsel (GL) re: non-party's privilege log; Review same 2/27/2012 Email to co-counsel (SB) re: Texas hearing and admission to court; Review ECF of notice of hearing; Wells, Gerald Telephone call to co-counsel (GL) Email correspondence re: proposed dates for depositions: Email from co-counsel re: Texas hearing; 2/28/2012 Wells, Gerald 1 Email from co-counsel (GL) re: forthcoming hearing in Texas and gathering of information; Email from defense counsel re: discovery issue 2/29/2012 Wells, Gerald 2 Review deposition notices; Email from co-counsel (JM) re: material for March 2nd hearing; Review same

3/1/2012

3/2/2012

Wells, Gerald

Wells, Gerald

2

1.5

strategy

Email correspondence; Review and collect documents for co-counsel; Telephone call w/co-counsel

Email correspondence re: Texas hearing; Telephone call w/co-counsel re: Texas hearing and case

(GL); Email to/from co-counsel (GL) re: Texas hearing and documents produced to date

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
3/3/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: forwarding of Texas hearing docket entry
3/6/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence re: texas order; Email to/from co-counsel (GL)
3/7/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Review draft proposed order; Email correspondence; Telephone call w/co-counsel
3/8/2012	Gray, Robert	2	Review email correspondence; Review Proposed Order - re Motion to Quash
3/8/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Review draft stipulation for Texas court; Email correspondence re: same; Telephone call to co-
			counsel (GL); Email correspondence re: depositions; Email from co-counsel (GL) re: proposed order
			for Texas court
3/9/2012	Gray, Robert	2	Review draft letter to judge, RE: discovery extension; Review / Draft email
3/9/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from defense counsel; Email from co-counsel (SB) re: discovery issues; Review draft
			stipulation to extend discovery dates; Email from defense counsel re: extending discovery deadline
3/12/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email re: postponement of call w/court; Email from defense counsel acknowledging same
3/13/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (SB) to defense counsel; Follow up re: postponed call w/court
3/14/2012	Gray, Robert	2	Review Notices of Deposition
3/14/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: notice of depositions for non-parties; Review same; Email from
			defense counsel re: unavailability
3/19/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Review email from co-counsel (JM) re: deadline for non-party's document production
3/20/2012	Gray, Robert	4	Review Barret Burke's document production: re, procedures for military searches
3/20/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email correspondence re: potential dates for Texas depositions; Emails re: document production of
			Texas documents
3/21/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review email correspondences; re dep dates
3/21/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email correspondence between co-counsel to defense counsel re: forthcoming depositions;
			Meeting w/co-counsel (SB); Telephone call to co-counsel (GL) re: brief to file in Texas; Email
			to/from co-counsel (GL)
3/22/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review Notice of Dep to Plaintiff
3/22/2012	Wells, Gerald	1.25	File review re: depositions
3/23/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence from co-counsel
3/26/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	3	Fact research re Congressional testimony

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
3/26/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email correspondence; Review order entered in Texas court
3/27/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Review Barrett Daffin's document production
3/27/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review correspondence, re; deficient docs
3/27/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Review letter to defense counsel re: discovery issues; Email correspondence amongst co-counsel
			re: privilege log produced by Texas non-party
3/29/2012	Sampson , Miriam	2.25	File review
3/29/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel
3/30/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Review email from defense counsel
4/3/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: forthcoming Texas depositions; Email from co-counsel (GL) re: Texas
			order; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL)P
4/4/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: forthcoming Texas depositions and scheduling call
4/5/2012	Jenks, Jessica	5.25	Assist GDW with depo prep
4/5/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email correspondence from co-counsel (SB) re: confidentiality agreement; Review same; Email
			correspondence between co-counsel (SB) and defense counsel; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL)
4/6/2012	Gray, Robert	2	Review / revise confidentiality agrmt
4/6/2012	Jenks, Jessica	4.5	Assist GDW with depo prep
4/6/2012	Sampson , Miriam	3.25	Legal research
4/6/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email correspondence w/co-counsel (SB); Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL); Review and edit
			confidentiality agreement; Email correspondence from defense counsel
4/7/2012	Jenks, Jessica	4.25	Assist GDW with depo prep
4/8/2012	Jenks, Jessica	5.25	Assist GDW with depo prep
4/8/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence from co-counsel (SB) re: upcoming depositions
4/9/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	4	Assist JW with depo prep.
4/9/2012	Jenks, Jessica	4	Prepared and printed depo exhibits for GDW. Pulled related docket searches.
4/9/2012	Wells, Gerald	6.5	Email correspondence from co-counsel re: forthcoming Texas depositions; Prepare for deposition;
			Meeting w/JJ; Review docs; Prepare for conference call w/co-counsel (JM) (call did not occur)

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative	
4/9/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: congressional testimony about CitiMortgage foreclosure issues	
			(including Texas problems); Review same	
4/10/2012	Jenks, Jessica	1	Communications with court report on setting up various depos in TX	
4/10/2012	Wells, Gerald	14	Travel to Texas; Prepare for depositions	
4/11/2012	Wells, Gerald 13 Take 30(b)(6) depositions of non-parties; Take deposition of non-party L. Ell		Take 30(b)(6) depositions of non-parties; Take deposition of non-party L. Ellis; Meeting w/defense	
			counsel; Meeting w/non-parties counsel; Meeting w/co-counsel (JM); Telephone call to co-counsel	
			(GL); Email co-counsel; Travel to Philadelphia	
4/16/2012	Gray, Robert	5.75	Review Dep transcripts	
4/16/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from co-counsel (JM) re: reproduction of document used in Texas deposition	
4/17/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	1.75	Review revised responses to interrogatories	
4/17/2012	Gray, Robert	3	Review revised responses to interrogatories	
4/17/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Review Defendant's objections and responses to plaintiff's interrogatory; Email from defense	
			counsel attaching same	
4/18/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review email correspondence, Re: Discovery issues	
4/18/2012 Wells, Gerald 0.5 Email from co-counsel (SB) re: serving of deposition notice		Email from co-counsel (SB) re: serving of deposition notice & scheduling of same; Review		
			defendant's interrogatory verification	
4/19/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review email correspondence; Review letter from D,re: discovery issues	
4/19/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	2.25	Discussion with S Bursor re Texas Depositions; review David Seybold and Latreese Ellis depo	
			transcript	
4/19/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from co-counsel (SB) re: forthcoming deposition and possible settlement discussion; Email	
			from defense counsel re: discovery letter; Review same	
4/20/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review email correspondence, re: depositions; Review Notice of dep	
4/20/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Review David Seybold Depo Transcript	
4/20/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email Texas exhibits to co-counsel (SB)	
4/23/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review D's Obj to Notice of Dep	
4/23/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel re: document production	
4/24/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from defense counsel re: location of deponents	
4/27/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review Second Doc Request; Review email correspondence	

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative	
4/27/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Review document request to defense counsel; Email from co-counsel (JM) to defense counsel re:	
			documents recently uncovered but not yet produced	
5/3/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email correspondence w/ Texas counsel for non-parties; Telephone call w/co-counsel (GL)	
5/4/2012	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Email from non-parties' counsel re: entry of protective order in Texas; Telephone call to co-counsel	
			(GL) re: same	
5/7/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	1	Meeting w/JW re: case update and deposition	
5/7/2012	Wells, Gerald	1.5	Meeting w/NF re: case update and deposition; Email correspondence w/co-counsel	
5/8/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email correspondence from Texas counsel from non-parties; Email correspondence w/co-counsel	
			(SB)	
5/11/2012	Gray, Robert	5.5	Review brief / motion for protective order	
5/11/2012	Gray, Robert	1.5	Review errata sheets	
5/11/2012	Wells, Gerald	3.5	Review documents from non-parties' counsel, Email to/from same	
5/14/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Email correspondence from co-counsel; review terms of potential settlement; Telephone call w/co-	
			counsel (GL); Email from non-parties' counsel	
5/15/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	Review April Rinehart Depo transcript	
5/15/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email correspondence w/co-counsel	
5/16/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	3.25	Meeting w/co-counsel re: depositions, potential settlement, and case strategy	
5/16/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Meeting w/co-counsel re: depositions, potential settlement, and case strategy	
5/17/2012	Gray, Robert	0.75	Review draft MSJ letter	
5/17/2012	Wells, Gerald	2	Review draft letter to court; Telephone call w/co-counsel	
5/18/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	2	Conference call w/co-counsel re: potential settlement	
5/18/2012	Gray, Robert	0.25	Review email correspondence; re: MSJ	
5/18/2012	Sampson , Miriam	2.75	Legal research and file review	
5/18/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.75	Conference call w/co-counsel re: potential settlement; Email correspondence w/co-counsel;	
			Telephone call w/co-counsel; Edit draft letter to court; Email same to co-counsel; Email Texas	
			counsel for non-parties	
5/22/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	review Steven Smith Depo transcript	
5/24/2012	Gray, Robert	4.5	Review deposition transcripts	
5/24/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Review Plaintiff Jorge Rodrigues Depo transcript	

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative	
5/27/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	Review Paulete Hill Depo transcript	
6/3/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.25	Review Kathy Subleski Depo transcript	
7/9/2012	Gray, Robert	0.75	Review revised motion for protective order.	
7/15/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.75	Review April Wyatt Depo transcript	
7/20/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1	Review draft settlement agreement	
7/20/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Prep for teleconference; teleconference with co-counsel; call with GLynch; meeting with RGray	
9/4/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email from SBursor re latest draft settlement	
9/11/2012	Gray, Robert	0.5	Review draft status letter to court; Review email correspondence, re: same.	
9/11/2012	Wells, Gerald	1	Email from SBursor re case update & draft letter; edit letter and send same to SBursor;	
			teleconference with GLynch	
9/14/2012	Wells, Gerald	2.5	Review endorsed letter; review latest changes to settlement agreement	
9/19/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email co-counsel	
9/20/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email to/from co-counsel	
9/21/2012	Faruqi, Nadeem	2.25	Review settlement agreement; discussion with A. Vozzolo	
9/21/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with N Faruqi re settlement	
9/21/2012	Wells, Gerald	0.5	Email to/from co-counsel re potential revisions to settlement agreement	
12/10/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	Review draft of preliminary approval motion	
12/15/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	2	Review draft of settlement agreement	
12/27/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	2	Review draft of first amended complaint; memorandum of law ISO preliminary approval	
12/28/2012	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Review draft of first amended complaint	
1/16/2013	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.75	Review order re preliminary approval of settlement	
2/3/2013	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Hidalgo re research project	
8/16/2013	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Marchese re settlement progress report	
9/10/2013	Maccarone, Courtney	5.25	Reviewed docket; reviewed and filed notice of appearance.	
9/10/2013	Sampson , Miriam	3	Prepare, format and finalize notices of appearance for Nadeem F., Anthony V. and Courtney M. and	
			efile same for SDNY for Anthony Vozzolo.	
9/10/2013	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with M Sampson re notice of appearance	

# Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP Exhibit C: Detailed Time Report Re: *Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage*

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative	
12/17/2013	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Review draft of settlement agreement	
4/3/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Marchese re Status Conference Order; discussion with N Faruqi re same and	
			strategy	
4/9/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review corresp re status of settlement progress	
4/10/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review corresp re status of settlement discussion out strategy; discussion with J Marchese re same	
4/16/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	4.25	Reviewed docket and filings to prepare for in-person status conference.	
4/16/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with C Maccarone re in-person conference	
4/17/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	7.25	Attended in-person status conference before Judge Gardephe (time includes travel to and from	
			courthouse); ordered transcript; email correspondence with A. Vozzolo re conference; outlined	
			notes from hearing and emailed to A. Vozzolo.	
4/17/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Discussion with J Marchese re Status Conference; discussion with N Faruqi re same and strategy;	
			discussion with C Maccarone re conference; review notes from conference	
4/25/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	3.25	Followed up with court reporter re transcript order status. Emailed hearing transcript to co-	
			counsel.	
4/25/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Review hearing transcript	
5/1/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Fact research; Corresp with potential class member	
5/9/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Corresp with potential class member	
5/14/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Review corresp to DOJ re Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage	
7/8/2014	Behnke, Derek	0.25	Drafting withdrawal re Courtney.	
7/17/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review corresp regarding settlement status update	
8/22/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	2.75	Review documents	
8/23/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	2	Review documents	
10/15/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review corresp from defense counsel; discussion with J Marches	
11/3/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Draft corresp to court	
11/7/2014	LoBosco, Michael	2.5	Review and organize documents.	

# Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP Exhibit C: Detailed Time Report Re: *Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage*

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
11/7/2014	Maccarone, Courtney	2.25	Review documents
11/8/2014	LoBosco, Michael	3	Review and organize documents.
11/9/2014	LoBosco, Michael	2.75	Review and organize documents.
11/12/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0	Review Memo Endorsement
12/5/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Review Endorsed Letter; discussion with J Marchese re same; review memo endorsement
12/17/2014	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Discussion with J Marchese re settlement status teleconference update; corresp with N Faruqi re
			same
1/5/2015	Behnke, Derek	2.25	File review
2/10/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	Discussion with J Marchese re final approval briefing and strategy
2/11/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	Discussion with J Marchese Re: Final Approval Briefing; Legal research and draft final approval
			brief
2/19/2015	Faruqi, Nadeem	2	Review and edit final approval brief
2/19/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	2.25	Legal research; draft final approval brief
2/20/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	2.75	Legal research; draft final approval brief
2/21/2015	Faruqi, Nadeem	2.5	Review and edit final approval brief
2/21/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	3	Legal research; draft final approval brief
2/23/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Corresp with co-counsel re Notice issues, misc. matters
2/24/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.5	Discussion with J Marchese re strategy; notice matters
2/26/2015	Maloney, Teresa	3.25	Legal and factual research
3/2/2015	Behnke, Derek	2.5	Legal research
3/3/2015	Behnke, Derek	1.5	Legal research
3/18/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	5.25	Legal research; Draft final approval brief
3/19/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	4.5	Legal research; Draft final approval brief
3/20/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	5.5	Legal research; Draft final approval brief
3/21/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	4	Legal research; Draft final approval brief
3/22/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	4.25	Legal research; Draft final approval brief
3/23/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	3.5	Draft final approval brief; corresp with J Marchese re same
3/24/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	T/call with J Marchese re final approval papers

Re: Rodriguez v. CitiMortgage

Date	TKPR Name	Bs Hrs	Narrative
4/28/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	0.25	T/call with J Marchese re final approval papers; misc. issues
7/14/2015	LoBosco, Michael	1.75	Legal research
7/20/2015	Behnke, Derek	2.75	Legal research
7/21/2015	Behnke, Derek	3	Legal research
7/22/2015	LoBosco, Michael	2.5	Legal research
8/11/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.75	Research re final approval; discussion with J Marchese re final approval papers; draft /edit
			declaration ISO final approval; legal research re same
8/13/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Discussion with M Walters re legal research; discussion with J Marchese; review draft declaration
			ISO Final Approval
8/17/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	4	Draft Memorandum ISO final approval; legal research
8/18/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	1.5	Discussion with J Marchese re final approval; draft declaration ISO final approval; Legal research re
			final approval.
8/28/2015	Vozzolo, Antonio	3	Draft Memorandum ISO final approval; legal research

Total: 924.75

# **EXHIBIT D**

Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP	
Exhibit D: Summary Time Chart	
Re: Rodriguez v. CitiMorgtage	

CATEGORY	AMOUNT
Commercial Copies	\$878.23
Computer & Other Research Fee(s) (Lexis/Westlaw/Bloomberg)	\$3,032.52
Courier & Overnight Delivery Services	\$120.00
Court Filing/Service Fee(s)	\$650.00
Court Reporting Service	\$317.48
Postage	\$350.23
Reproduction (Internal)	\$366.44
Telephone/Fax	\$468.20
Travel Expenses (including hotels, meals & transportation)	\$3,763.01
	\$184.30
	_
TOTAL:	\$10,130.41